

KLAMATH HYDROELECTRIC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

**February 18, 2010
as amended April 6, 2016**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction.....	2
1.1 Recitals.....	2
1.2 Purpose of Settlement	3
1.3 Parties Bound by Settlement	3
1.4 Definitions.....	3
1.5 Compliance with Legal Responsibilities	7
1.6 Reservations.....	7
1.6.1 Generally.....	7
1.6.2 Reservations Regarding Federal Appropriations	7
1.6.3 Availability of Public Funds	8
1.6.4 Reservations Regarding Legislative Proposals.....	8
1.6.5 Reservations Regarding Regulations	8
1.6.6 No Pre-Decisional Commitment.....	8
1.6.7 No Waiver of Sovereign Immunity.....	8
1.6.8 No Argument, Admission, or Precedent	8
1.6.9 Protection of Interests	9
1.7 Trinity River.....	9
1.8 Tribal Water Rights.....	10
1.9 Klamath Basin Agreement.....	10
2. Implementation of Settlement.....	10
2.1 General Duty to Support Implementation.....	10
2.1.1 Legislation.....	10
2.1.2 Regulatory Approvals	11
2.1.3 Defense of Settlement	11
2.1.4 Obligation to Implement	11
2.1.5 Timeliness	12
2.1.6 Force Majeure	12
2.2 Ratemaking Legislation and Proceedings.....	13
2.3 Project Water Rights; Klamath Basin Adjudication	14
2.3.1 Project Water Rights	14
2.3.2 Klamath Basin Adjudication.....	14
2.4 Lease of State-Owned Beds and Banks	14
3. Secretarial Designation and Statement of Support.....	14
3.1 Statement of Support.....	14
3.2 Secretarial Designation	14
4. Costs	15
4.1 Funds for the Purpose of Facilities Removal	15
4.1.1 The Customer Contribution	15
4.1.2 The California Bond Funding	16
4.1.3 State Cost Cap.....	17

4.2	Establishment and Management of Trust Accounts and California Bond Funding	17
4.2.1	The Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts	17
4.2.2	The California Klamath Trust Accounts	17
4.2.3	The California Bond Funding	18
4.2.4	Management of the Trust Accounts	18
4.3	Adjustment to Surcharges	19
4.4	Disposition of Unnecessary or Unused Funds from the Oregon and/or California Klamath Trust Accounts	19
4.5	Recovery of Net Investment in Facilities.....	20
4.6	Recovery of Costs of Ongoing Operations and Replacement Power	21
4.7	Treatment of Costs Related to Future Portfolio Standards and Climate Change Legislation	21
4.8	Acknowledgement of Independence of Oregon PUC and California PUC	21
4.9	Consultation	22
4.10	United States Not Responsible for Costs of Facilities Removal.....	22
4.11	Parties' Costs Related to Facilities Removal	22
4.12	Funding and Grant Agreements	22
5.	Local Community Power.....	23
5.1	Power Development.....	23
5.2	[Section deleted]	23
5.3	Transmittal and Distribution of Energy	24
5.4	Irrigator Rates	26
6.	Interim Operations	26
6.1	General	26
6.1.1	PacifiCorp Performance.....	26
6.1.2	Duty to Support.....	27
6.1.3	Permitting.....	27
6.1.4	Interim Power Operations	27
6.1.5	Adjustment for Inflation	27
6.2	Interim Conservation Plan	28
6.2.1	Application by PacifiCorp	28
6.2.2	Applicable Actions by the Services under the ESA.....	28
6.2.3	Potential Modifications of Measures	29
6.3	TMDLs.....	29
6.3.1	PacifiCorp Implementation.....	29
6.3.2	TMDL Implementation Plans	29
6.3.3	Keno Load Allocation.....	30
6.3.4	TMDL Reservations.....	30
6.4	Other Project Works	31
6.4.1	East Side/West Side Facilities	31
6.4.2	Fall Creek Hydroelectric Facility	31
6.5	Abeyance of Relicensing Proceeding	32

7.	DRE, Transfer, Surrender, and Facilities Removal	32
7.1	DRE.....	32
	7.1.1 Execution of Settlement.....	32
	7.1.2 Capabilities	33
	7.1.3 Liability Protection	34
	7.1.4 License Transfer Conditions and Timing	35
	7.1.5 FERC Application for Transfer	35
	7.1.6 Operation and Maintenance Agreement	36
	7.1.7 FERC Application for Surrender	36
	7.1.8 Performance of Facilities Removal.....	36
	7.1.9 Other Regulatory Approvals for Facilities Removal	37
	7.1.10 Assignment	37
7.2	Definite Plan and Detailed Plan	37
	7.2.1 Development and Use of Definite Plan.....	37
	7.2.2 Detailed Plan for Facilities Removal	38
	7.2.3 Assessment and Mitigation of Potential Impacts to the City of Yreka.....	39
7.3	Schedule for Facilities Removal	40
7.4	Transfer, Decommissioning, and Facilities Removal	43
	7.4.1 DRE Notice.....	43
	7.4.2 Decommissioning and Transfer	43
7.5	Keno Facility.....	44
	7.5.1 Study	44
	7.5.2 Keno Facility Determination.....	44
	7.5.3 PacifiCorp Operations Prior to Transfer	45
	7.5.4 Operations After Transfer	45
	7.5.5 Landowner Agreements	45
7.6	Dispositions of PacifiCorp Interests in Lands and Other Rights	45
	7.6.1 Lands Owned by PacifiCorp.....	45
	7.6.2 Potential Non-Project Land Exchanges	46
	7.6.3 BLM Easements and Rights of Way.....	46
	7.6.4 PacifiCorp Klamath Hydroelectric Project Lands	46
	7.6.5 PacifiCorp Water Rights	48
	7.6.6 PacifiCorp Hatchery Facilities	49
8.	General Provisions	50
8.1	Term of Settlement	50
8.2	Effectiveness	50
8.3	Successors and Assigns.....	50
8.4	Amendment.....	50
8.5	Notices	51
8.6	Dispute Resolution.....	51
	8.6.1 Cooperation.....	51
	8.6.2 Costs.....	51
	8.6.3 Non-Exclusive Remedy	51
	8.6.4 Dispute Resolution Procedures	52

8.7	Meet and Confer	53
	8.7.1 Applicability	53
	8.7.2 Meet and Confer Procedures.....	53
8.8	Remedies.....	53
8.9	Entire Agreement	53
8.10	Severability	54
8.11	Termination.....	54
	8.11.1 Potential Termination Events.....	54
	8.11.2 Definitions for Section 8.11	54
	8.11.3 Cure for Potential Termination Event.....	55
	8.11.4 Obligations Surviving Termination	56
8.12	No Third-Party Beneficiaries	57
8.13	Elected Officials Not to Benefit.....	57
8.14	No Partnership	57
8.15	Governing Law	58
	8.15.1 Contractual Obligation.....	58
	8.15.2 Regulatory Obligation.....	58
	8.15.3 Reference to Applicable Law.....	58
8.16	Federal Appropriations	58
8.17	Confidentiality	58
9.	Execution of Settlement.....	58
9.1	Signatory Authority	58
9.2	Signing in Counterparts	59
9.3	New Parties	59
9.4	DRE and Liability Transfer Corp. as Parties	59

APPENDICES

- A. Coordination Process for the Studies Supporting the Secretarial Determination
- B. Interim Measures Implementation Committee (Interim Measure 1)
- C. Interim Conservation Plan (ICP) Interim Measures
- D. Non-ICP Interim Measures
- E. Elements for the Proposed Federal Legislation
- F. Oregon Surcharge Act (as codified)
- G-1. California Legislation, Water Bond Language
- G-2. California Legislation, CEQA Legislation Language
- H. Calculation of Initial Customer Surcharge Target
- I. Study Process Guidelines
- J. Science Process
- K. List of Authorized Representatives
- L. DRE and Contractor Qualifications, Insurance, Bonding, and Risk Mitigation Requirements

EXHIBITS

- 1. Water Rights Agreement between PacifiCorp and the State of Oregon
- 2. Sequence of Performance Chart—KHSA
- 3. Maps
- 4. Estimated Timelines—KHSA as Amended

The KLAMATH HYDROELECTRIC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT was made and entered into by and among the following entities:

Ady District Improvement Company;
American Rivers;
Bradley S. Luscombe;
California Department of Fish and Game (“CDFG”);
California Natural Resources Agency (“CNRA”);
California Trout;
Collins Products, LLC;
Don Johnston & Son;
Enterprise Irrigation District;
Humboldt County, California;
Institute for Fisheries Resources;
Inter-County Properties Co., which acquired title as Inter-County Title Co.;
Karuk Tribe;
Klamath Basin Improvement District;
Klamath County, Oregon;
Klamath Drainage District;
Klamath Irrigation District;
Klamath Tribes;
Klamath Water and Power Agency (“KWAPA”);
Klamath Water Users Association (“KWUA”);
Malin Irrigation District;
Midland District Improvement Company;
Northern California Council, Federation of Fly Fishers;
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (“ODEQ”);
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (“ODFW”);
Oregon Water Resources Department (“OWRD”);
Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen’s Associations;
PacifiCorp;
Pioneer District Improvement Company;
Plevna District Improvement Company;
Randolph Walthall and Jane Walthall as trustees under declaration of trust dated November 28, 1995 (the “Randolph and Jane Walthall 1995 trust”);
Reames Golf and Country Club;
Salmon River Restoration Council;
Shasta View Irrigation District;
Sunnyside Irrigation District;
Trout Unlimited;
Tulelake Irrigation District;
United States Department of Commerce’s National Marine Fisheries Service (“NMFS”);
United States Department of the Interior (“Interior”);
Upper Klamath Water Users Association (“UKWUA”);
Van Brimmer Ditch Company;
Westside Improvement District #4;

Winema Hunting Lodge, Inc.; and
Yurok Tribe;

This Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement, as amended, is entered into by and among the entities who sign the Settlement.

1. **Introduction**

1.1 Recitals

WHEREAS, the States, the United States and PacifiCorp entered into the 2008 Agreement in Principle that addressed issues pertaining to the resolution of certain litigation and other controversies in the Klamath Basin, including a path forward for possible Facilities Removal; and

WHEREAS, the 2008 AIP provided that the parties to the 2008 AIP would continue good-faith negotiations to reach a final settlement agreement in order to minimize adverse impacts of dam removal on affected communities, local property values and businesses and to specify substantive rights, obligations, procedures, timetables, agency and legislative actions, and other steps for Facilities Removal; and

WHEREAS, the other Parties to this Settlement desired to participate in the negotiations of a final settlement agreement in order to ensure that the interests of Indian tribes, environmental organizations, fishermen, water users, and local communities were addressed; and

WHEREAS, the Parties view this Settlement as an important part of the resolution of long-standing, complex, and intractable conflicts over resources in the Klamath Basin; and

WHEREAS, the 2008 AIP established a “commitment to negotiate” a settlement “based on existing information and the preliminary view of the governmental Parties (the United States, Oregon, and California) that the potential benefits for fisheries, water and other resources of removing the Facilities outweigh the potential costs, risks, liabilities or other adverse consequences of such removal”; and

WHEREAS, certain Parties believe that decommissioning and removal of the Facilities will help restore Basin natural resources, including anadromous fish, fisheries and water quality; and

WHEREAS, the Parties understand that the Project dams are currently the property of PacifiCorp, and that they are currently operated subject to applicable state and federal law and regulations. The other Parties understand that the decision before PacifiCorp is whether the decommissioning and removal of certain Facilities is appropriate and in the best interests of PacifiCorp and its customers. PacifiCorp asserts that prudent and reasonable long-term utility rates and protection from any liability for damages caused by Facilities Removal are central to its willingness to voluntarily transfer the dams and the low-carbon renewable energy they produce and to concur in the removal of the dams by the DRE; and

WHEREAS, the United States has devoted considerable funds and resources to resource enhancements, management actions, and compensation in the Klamath Basin, and various Parties believe that a broader and integrated approach is appropriate to realize Basin-wide objectives; and

WHEREAS, this Settlement contemplates a substantial non-federal contribution in support of said approach; and

WHEREAS, the Tribes and the Federal Parties agree that this Settlement advances the trust obligation of the United States to protect Basin Tribes' federally reserved fishing and water rights in the Klamath and Trinity River Basins; and

WHEREAS, in 2016, PacifiCorp, the United States, and the States signed the 2016 Agreement in Principle to signify their intent to negotiate an amended KHSA that would facilitate Facilities Removal through the existing authority of FERC under the Federal Power Act; and

WHEREAS, all of the Parties agree that this Settlement is in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree as follows:

1.2 Purpose of Settlement

The Parties have entered into this Settlement for the purpose of resolving among them the pending FERC relicensing proceeding by establishing a process for potential Facilities Removal and operation of the Project until that time.

1.3 Parties Bound by Settlement

The Parties shall be bound by this Settlement for the term stated in Section 8.1 herein, unless terminated pursuant to Section 8.11.

1.4 Definitions

“2008 Agreement in Principle” or **“2008 AIP”** refers to the Agreement in Principle executed on November 13, 2008, by the states of Oregon and California, Interior, and PacifiCorp setting forth a framework for potential Facilities Removal.

“2016 Agreement in Principle” or **“2016 AIP”** refers to the Agreement in Principle executed on February 2, 2016, by the states of Oregon and California, Interior, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and PacifiCorp signifying their intent to negotiate an amended KHSA that would achieve Facilities Removal through the existing authority of FERC under the Federal Power Act.

“Amendment Effective Date” is defined in Section 8.2.

“Applicable Law” means general law that (1) exists outside of this Settlement, including, but not limited to a Constitution, statute, regulation, court decision, or common law, and (2) applies to obligations or activities of Parties contemplated by this Settlement. The use of this term is not intended to create a contractual obligation to comply with any law that would not otherwise apply.

“Authorizing Legislation” refers to the statutes enacted by the Oregon and California Legislatures, respectively, to authorize and implement certain aspects of this Settlement, if necessary.

“CEQA” refers to the California Environmental Quality Act, Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21000 *et seq.*

“CWA” refers to the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*

“Coordination Process” for the Studies Supporting the Secretarial Determination means the process contained in Appendix A by which the United States will obtain input and assistance from the Parties to this Settlement, as governed by Applicable Law, regarding the studies and environmental compliance actions needed to inform and support the Secretarial Determination.

“Counties” refers to the counties that sign this Settlement.

“Dam Removal Entity” or **“DRE”** is the Klamath River Renewal Corporation, which will be the entity responsible for Facilities Removal under this Settlement.

“Decommissioning” means PacifiCorp’s physical removal from a facility of any equipment and personal property that PacifiCorp determines has salvage value, and physical disconnection of the facility from PacifiCorp’s transmission grid.

“Definite Plan” means a plan and timetable for Facilities Removal submitted by the DRE or any of its contractors or assigns under Section 7.2.1.

“Detailed Plan” means the plan dated July 2012 that includes elements described in Section 7.2.2.

“Dispute Resolution Procedures” means the procedures established by Section 8.6.

“Due Diligence” means a Party’s taking all reasonable steps to implement its obligations under this Settlement.

“Effective Date” is defined in Section 8.2.

“EPAct” refers to the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 241, codified at 16 U.S.C. § 823d and amendments to 16 U.S.C. §§ 797(e) and 811.

“ESA” refers to the federal Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531 *et seq.*

“**Facilities**” or “**Facility**” means the following specific hydropower facilities within the jurisdictional boundary of FERC Project No. 2082: Iron Gate Dam, Copco No. 1 Dam, Copco No. 2 Dam, J.C. Boyle Dam, and appurtenant works currently licensed to PacifiCorp.

“**Facilities Removal**” means physical removal of all or part of each of the Facilities to achieve at a minimum a free-flowing condition and volitional fish passage, site remediation and restoration, including previously inundated lands, measures to avoid or minimize adverse downstream impacts, and all associated permitting for such actions.

“**Federal Parties**” refers to Interior, including the component agencies and bureaus of Interior, and the NMFS.

“**FERC**” refers to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

“**Interim Conservation Plan**” or “**ICP**” refers to the plan developed by PacifiCorp through technical discussions with NMFS and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“USFWS”) regarding voluntary interim measures for the enhancement of coho salmon and suckers listed under the ESA, filed with FERC on November 25, 2008, or such plan as subsequently modified.

“**Interim Measures**” refers to those measures described in Appendices C and D to this Settlement.

“**Interim Period**” refers to the period between the Effective Date and Decommissioning.

“**Keno facility**” means Keno Dam, lands underlying Keno Dam, appurtenant works and PacifiCorp-owned property described as Klamath County Map Tax Lot R-3907-03600-00200-000 located in Klamath County, Oregon.

“**Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement**” or “**KHSA**” means the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement executed February 18, 2010.

“**Meet and Confer**” procedures mean the procedures established by Section 8.7 of this Settlement.

“**NEPA**” refers to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 *et seq.*

“**Nominal dollars**” means dollars that are not adjusted for inflation at the time they are collected.

“**Non-bypassable surcharge**” means a monetary surcharge authorized by the appropriate state utility commission through a tariff schedule that applies to all retail customers who rely on PacifiCorp’s transmission and distribution system for the delivery of electricity.

“**Notice**” means written notice pursuant to the requirements and procedures of Section 8.5.

“Oregon Surcharge Act” is defined in Section 2.2.

“PacifiCorp’s Economic Analysis” means the primary economic analysis prepared by PacifiCorp and relied upon by PacifiCorp to compare the present value revenue requirement impact of the KHSA against the present value revenue requirement of relicensing of the Facilities under defined prescriptions generally based on the FERC Final Environmental Impact Statement dated November 2007, which analysis PacifiCorp filed with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (“Oregon PUC”) pursuant to Section 4(1) of the Oregon Surcharge Act and with the California Public Utilities Commission (“California PUC”) in accordance with Section 4 of the KHSA. This analysis was used to compare the relative cost of relicensing with the relative cost of the KHSA.

“Parties” or **“Party”** means the signatories to this Amended KHSA collectively or a signatory individually.

“Project” refers to the Klamath Hydroelectric Project as licensed by FERC under Project No. 2082.

“Public Agency Party” means each Tribe, the Federal Parties, the agencies of each of the States, the Counties, and each other Party that is a public agency established under Applicable Law.

“Regulatory Approval” means each permit or other approval under a statute or regulation necessary or appropriate to implement any of the obligations or activities of Parties contemplated under this Settlement.

“Regulatory Obligation” means each of those obligations or activities of Parties contemplated by this Settlement that are subject to Regulatory Approval and, upon such approval, are enforceable under regulatory authority.

“Secretarial Determination” means the determination contemplated in Section 3.3 of the KHSA.

“Secretary” refers to the Secretary of the Interior.

“Services” means the National Marine Fisheries Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

“Settlement” means the entirety of the KHSA and Appendices A through L, as amended and applicable. “Settlement” does not include Exhibits 1 through 4, which are related documents attached for informational purposes.

“States” refers to the State of Oregon by and through the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, and Oregon Water Resources

Department, and the State of California by and through the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (“CDFW”) and the California Natural Resources Agency.

“**State Cost Cap**” means the collective maximum monetary contribution from the states of California and Oregon as described in Section 4.1.3 of this Settlement.

“**Timely**” or “**Timeliness**” means performance of an obligation by the deadline established in the applicable provision of this Settlement or otherwise in a manner reasonably calculated to achieve the bargained-for benefits of this Settlement.

“**Tribes**” means the Yurok Tribe, the Karuk Tribe, the Hoopa Valley Tribe, and the Klamath Tribes, so long as such tribe is a signatory to the Settlement.

“**Value to Customers**” means potential cost reductions described in Section 7.3.8. These cost reductions would (1) decrease the Customer Contribution defined in Section 4.1.1.C, (2) decrease the costs of ongoing operations, or (3) decrease the costs of replacement power, as compared against the assumptions contained in PacifiCorp’s Economic Analysis.

1.5 Compliance with Legal Responsibilities

In the implementation of this Settlement, Public Agency Parties shall comply with Applicable Law, including but not limited to the Authorizing Legislation, NEPA, ESA, CWA, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and CEQA.

1.6 Reservations

1.6.1 Generally

Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to affect or limit the authority or obligation of any Party to fulfill its constitutional, statutory, and regulatory responsibilities or comply with any judicial decision. Nothing in this Settlement shall be interpreted to require the Federal Parties, the States, or any other Party to implement any action which is not authorized by Applicable Law or where sufficient funds have not been appropriated for that purpose by Congress or the States. The Parties expressly reserve all rights not granted, recognized, or relinquished in this Settlement.

1.6.2 Reservations Regarding Federal Appropriations

All actions required of the Federal Parties in implementing this Settlement are subject to appropriations for that purpose by Congress. Nothing in this Settlement shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that any Federal agency obligate or pay funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or other Applicable Law. Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to commit a federal official to expend federal funds not appropriated

for that purpose by Congress. Nothing in this Settlement is intended to or shall be construed to require any official of the executive branch to seek or request appropriations from Congress to implement any provision of this Settlement.

1.6.3 Availability of Public Funds

Funding by any Public Agency Party under this Settlement is subject to the requirements of Applicable Law. Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to require the obligation, appropriation, or expenditure of any funds by the States or a Public Agency Party except as otherwise permitted by Applicable Law.

1.6.4 Reservations Regarding Legislative Proposals

Nothing in this Settlement shall be deemed to limit the authority of the executive branch of the United States government to make recommendations to Congress on any particular proposed legislation.

1.6.5 Reservations Regarding Regulations

Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to deprive any public official of the authority to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations.

1.6.6 No Pre-Decisional Commitment

Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to be a pre-decisional commitment of funds or resources by a Public Agency Party. Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to predetermine the outcome of any Regulatory Approval or other action by a Public Agency Party necessary under Applicable Law in order to implement this Settlement.

1.6.7 No Waiver of Sovereign Immunity

Nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed as a waiver of sovereign immunity by the United States, the State of Oregon, the State of California, any other Public Agency Party, or the Tribes. This Settlement does not obligate the United States or any Federal Party to affirmatively support this Settlement regarding any state or local legislative, administrative, or judicial action before a state administrative agency or court.

1.6.8 No Argument, Admission, or Precedent

This Settlement shall not be offered for or against a Party as argument, admission, or precedent regarding any issue of fact or law in any mediation, arbitration, litigation, or other administrative or legal proceeding, except that this Settlement may be used in any future proceeding to interpret or enforce the terms of this

Settlement, consistent with Applicable Law. This Settlement may also be used by any Party in litigation by or against non-Parties to implement or defend this Settlement. This section shall survive any termination of this Settlement.

1.6.9 Protection of Interests

Each Party may, in a manner consistent with this Settlement, protect, defend, and discharge its interests and duties in any administrative, regulatory, legislative or judicial proceeding, including but not limited to the Secretarial Determination, FERC relicensing process, CWA 401 proceedings, or other proceedings related to potential Project relicensing, surrender, or Facilities Removal.

1.7 Trinity River

The Parties intend that this Settlement shall not adversely affect the Trinity River Restoration Program.

To reach that conclusion, the Tribes reaffirm and rely upon their view of the existing fishery restoration goals and principles for the Trinity River Fishery Restoration Program, as follows:

- A. Restoration of the Trinity River fish populations to pre-Trinity Dam construction levels;
- B. Fishery restoration shall be measured not only by returning anadromous fish spawners but also by the ability of dependent tribal and non-tribal fishers to participate fully in the benefits of restoration through meaningful subsistence and commercial harvest opportunities;
- C. An appropriate balance between stocks of natural and hatchery origins shall be maintained to minimize negative interactions upon naturally produced fish by hatchery mitigation releases;
- D. A collaborative working relationship between federal agencies and the above mentioned Tribes;
- E. Portions of federal activities that are associated with fishery restoration programs are Indian Programs for the purposes of the Indian Self-Determination Act; and
- F. The Tribes support full funding implementation of the Trinity River Record of Decision from funding sources outside of this Settlement.

Nothing in this section binds any Party to any particular interpretation of the law or requires any Party to take particular actions, including performance of Interim Measures, or excuses any action otherwise required by Applicable Law or this Settlement.

1.8 Tribal Water Rights

This Settlement does not waive or in any way limit any treaty right, federally reserved right, or other right of the Tribes, or any federally recognized tribe, including any water or fishing right.

1.9 Klamath Basin Agreement

The States, the Federal Parties, and other entities are concurrently entering into the 2016 Klamath Power and Facilities Agreement. Each Party, other than PacifiCorp, shall support and defend the 2016 Klamath Power and Facilities Agreement, in its current form as of April 6, 2016, and its objectives in each applicable venue or forum in which it participates, including any administrative or judicial action. For purposes of this Section 1.9 only, the terms “support and defend” mean that the Party will advocate for the 2016 Klamath Power and Facilities Agreement or refrain from taking any action or making any statement in opposition to the 2016 Klamath Power and Facilities Agreement. More broadly, the Parties are committed to engage in good faith efforts to develop and enter into a subsequent agreement or agreements pertaining to other water, fisheries, land, agriculture, refuge and economic sustainability issues in the Klamath Basin with the goal to complete such agreement or agreements within the next year.

2. **Implementation of Settlement**

2.1 General Duty to Support Implementation

The Parties shall fully support this Settlement and its implementation. The form, manner, and timing of each Party’s support are reserved to the discretion of each Party. Each Party agrees to refrain from any action that does not support or further cooperative efforts in support of the goals of this Settlement and its effective implementation.

2.1.1 Legislation

- A. The Parties understand and agree that federal legislation is not necessary to carry out this Settlement.
- B. Within 60 days of the Amendment Effective Date, the CDFW will provide draft California legislation to the Parties regarding a limited authorization for incidental take of Lost River Suckers, Shortnose Sucker, Golden Eagles, southern Bald Eagles, Greater Sandhill Cranes, or American Peregrine Falcon contingent upon the fulfillment of certain conditions, if such authorization is necessary for implementation of this Settlement. After reasonable opportunity for Parties to provide comments on the draft legislation, the State of California shall Timely recommend the legislation.

2.1.2 Regulatory Approvals

Subject to Sections 1.6.1, 2.1, and 7.1.5, each Party shall support the application for and granting of Regulatory Approvals consistent with this Settlement. The preceding sentence shall not apply to the Public Agency Party exercising the regulatory approval or to a Public Agency Party not participating in the proceeding.

2.1.3 Defense of Settlement

If an administrative or judicial action is brought against any Party to challenge the validity of this Settlement or its implementation consistent with the Settlement, each other Party shall endeavor to intervene or otherwise participate in such action, subject to its discretion, necessary funding, and Section 1.6. Any such participating Party will defend the Settlement. The form of such defense, including what litigation positions to support or recommend in such action, shall be left to the discretion of each participating Party in the action.

Each Party may comment on the consistency of any plan, other document, or data arising during the implementation of this Settlement and not otherwise set forth in an Appendix or Exhibit to this Settlement. The Parties acknowledge that their comments may conflict due to differing good-faith interpretations of the applicable obligations under this Settlement.

2.1.4 Obligation to Implement

A. General

Each Party shall implement each of its obligations under this Settlement in good faith and with Due Diligence. Any obligation identified as an obligation of all of the Parties does not obligate any individual Party to take any action itself or itself make any specific commitment other than to participate in the applicable procedures.

B. Cooperation Among the Parties

Each Party shall cooperate in the implementation of this Settlement. A Party shall not act in a manner that results in an action or requirement that is inconsistent with the Settlement unless necessary to comply with statutory, regulatory, or other legal responsibility.

C. Covenant Not to Sue with Respect to Permitting and Performance of Definite Plan

- (1) No Party shall directly or indirectly through other entities oppose the DRE's securing all permits and entering all contracts necessary

for Facilities Removal consistent with the Definite Plan or any Regulatory Approval, provided this clause does not apply to a Public Agency Party exercising a Regulatory Approval;

- (2) After transfer of the Facilities to the DRE, each Party covenants not to sue any other Party for monetary or non-monetary relief for harm arising from removal of any of the Facilities, provided this covenant does not apply to claims against the DRE arising from the negligence, recklessness, or willful misconduct of the DRE or any of its contractors, subcontractors, or assigns, or from the actions or omissions of the DRE or any of its contractors, subcontractors, or assigns inconsistent with the Definite Plan or in violation of a Regulatory Approval. This provision does not apply to rights under the indemnifications established in Section 7.1.3 or the States' agreements with the DRE required in Section 4.12.

2.1.5 Timeliness

Exhibit 4 describes the sequence of performance of specific obligations necessary to achieve the bargained-for benefits of this Settlement. Exhibit 4 is subject to change and modification as needed and is provided for guidance only. The Parties shall undertake to implement this Settlement in a manner consistent with this sequence. If any Party requires more time than permitted by this Settlement to perform an obligation, that Party shall provide Notice to other Parties 30 days before the applicable deadline, unless the applicable provision in this Settlement establishes a different period. The Notice shall explain: (1) the obligation that the Party is attempting to perform; (2) the reason that performance is or may be delayed; and (3) the steps the Party has taken or proposes to take to Timely complete performance.

2.1.6 Force Majeure

A. Definition of Force Majeure

The term "Force Majeure" means any event reasonably beyond a Party's control that prevents or materially interferes with the performance of an obligation of that Party, that could not be avoided with the exercise of due care, and that occurs without the fault or negligence of that Party. Force Majeure events may be unforeseen, foreseen, foreseeable, or unforeseeable, including without limitation: natural events; labor or civil disruption; breakdown or failure of Project works not caused by failure to properly design, construct, operate, or maintain; or new regulations or laws that are applicable to the Project (other than the Authorizing Legislation). Force Majeure is presumed not to include normal inclement weather, which presumption can be overcome by a preponderance of the evidence provided by the non-performing Party.

B. Suspension of Obligation

During a Force Majeure event, and except as otherwise provided in this Settlement, a Party shall be relieved of any specific obligation directly precluded by the event, as well as those other obligations performance of which is materially impaired, but only for the duration of such event. The non-performing Party bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of Force Majeure, including the absence of negligence and fault.

C. Remedies

If a Force Majeure event occurs, and except as otherwise provided in this Settlement:

- (1) A Party that believes it is excused from performance pursuant to Section 2.1.6.B shall provide Notice within 10 days of the onset of the event. Such Notice shall describe the occurrence, nature, and expected duration of such event and describe the steps the Party has taken or proposes to be taken to prevent or minimize the interference with the performance of any affected obligation under this Settlement;
- (2) A Party shall thereafter provide periodic Notice to the other Parties of the efforts to address and resolve a Force Majeure event; and
- (3) If any other Party disputes the Party's claim of a Force Majeure event, or the adequacy of the efforts to address and resolve such event, such Party shall initiate the Dispute Resolution Procedures stated in Section 8.6.

2.2 Ratemaking Legislation and Proceedings

Each Party shall support implementation of the Oregon Surcharge Act enacted as Senate Bill 76, 2009 Or. Session Laws Chapter 690 in 2009 and authorizing the collection of a customer surcharge for the costs of Facilities Removal, which was codified as ORS 757.732 through 757.744. The Oregon Surcharge Act as codified is attached to this Settlement as Appendix F.

The Parties understand and agree that the costs of Facilities Removal shall be funded as specified in Section 4 of this Settlement. The Parties further understand and agree that funds allocated for Facilities Removal shall be managed and disbursed as specified in Section 4 of this Settlement. In the event that (1) the California Legislature does not adopt legislation by the time of the Secretarial Determination to place a ballot measure before California voters that contains a provision to fund up to \$250,000,000 (in nominal dollars) of the costs of Facilities Removal, or (2) the California voters do not adopt such

ballot measure by the time of the Secretarial Determination, or (3) the California PUC does not adopt a California Klamath Surcharge, as defined herein and specified in Section 4, or (4) the Oregon PUC does not adopt an Oregon Klamath Surcharge, as defined in the Oregon Surcharge Act and specified herein, the Parties shall Meet and Confer to attempt, in good faith, to identify substitute funding and/or other alternatives to cover the costs of Facilities Removal.

2.3 Project Water Rights; Klamath Basin Adjudication

2.3.1 Project Water Rights

PacifiCorp's Oregon water rights will be processed and adjusted in accordance with the principles of Oregon law and the *Water Rights Agreement between PacifiCorp and the State of Oregon* attached to this Settlement as Exhibit 1.

2.3.2 Klamath Basin Adjudication

The Parties support the efforts by PacifiCorp, the Klamath Tribes, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and OWRD to develop a Klamath Basin Adjudication ("KBA") Settlement Agreement of cases 282 and 286 in the KBA.

2.4 Lease of State-Owned Beds and Banks

Within 60 days of the Effective Date, PacifiCorp shall apply to the Oregon Department of State Lands in accordance with state law for leases authorizing occupancy of submerged and submersible lands by the J.C. Boyle Dam, J.C. Boyle Powerhouse, and Keno Dam. No Party shall be deemed to have admitted, adjudicated, or otherwise agreed to the State of Oregon's claim to ownership of submerged and submersible lands by virtue of this Settlement.

3. **Secretarial Designation and Statement of Support**

3.1 Statement of Support

In cooperation with the Secretary of Commerce and other federal agencies as appropriate, the Secretary may make an affirmative statement of support for Facilities Removal if, in the Secretary's judgment, Facilities Removal (1) will advance restoration of the salmonid fisheries of the Klamath Basin, and (2) is in the public interest, which includes but is not limited to consideration of potential impacts on affected local communities and Tribes.

3.2 Secretarial Designation

The Secretary, through execution of the Settlement, agrees that Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife will act as the entity with authority under ORS 757.738(3) to request transfer of funds held in the appropriate trust account established under ORS 757.738, to hold transferred funds, and to disburse transferred funds to the DRE, its assign, or

successor, in accordance with a funding agreement as specified in Section 4.12.2, in the amounts necessary to pay “the costs of removing the Klamath River dams” as that phrase is used in ORS 757.736(11).

4. Costs

4.1 Funds for the Purpose of Facilities Removal

The Parties agree to pursue arrangements for the creation of the funding sources described below for the purpose of Facilities Removal.

4.1.1 The Customer Contribution

- A. Within 30 days of the Effective Date, PacifiCorp shall request that the Oregon PUC, pursuant to the Oregon Surcharge Act, establish two non-bypassable customer surcharges, the Oregon J.C. Boyle Dam Surcharge and the Oregon Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Surcharge (together, the “Oregon Klamath Surcharges”), for PacifiCorp’s Oregon customers to generate funds for the purpose of Facilities Removal. PacifiCorp shall request that the Oregon PUC set the Oregon Klamath Surcharges so that to the extent practicable the total annual collections of the surcharges remain approximately the same during the collection period.
- B. Within 30 days of the Effective Date, PacifiCorp shall request that the California PUC establish a non-bypassable customer surcharge (the “California Klamath Surcharge”) for PacifiCorp’s California customers to generate funds for the purpose of Facilities Removal. PacifiCorp shall request that the California PUC establish the California Klamath Surcharge so that it will collect an approximately equal amount each year that it is to be collected. PacifiCorp shall request that such surcharge assigns responsibility among the customer classes in an equitable manner. PacifiCorp shall also request that the California PUC set the California Klamath Surcharge so that it at no time exceeds two percent of the revenue requirements set by the California PUC for PacifiCorp as of January 1, 2010.
- C. The Parties agree that the total amount of funds to be collected pursuant to the Oregon Klamath Surcharges and the California Klamath Surcharge shall not exceed \$200,000,000 (in nominal dollars); these funds shall be referred to as the “Customer Contribution.”
- D. PacifiCorp shall request that the Oregon PUC establish a surcharge so that the amount collected under the Oregon Klamath Surcharges is 92% (a maximum of approximately \$184,000,000) of the total

Customer Contribution, and with 75% of the total Oregon Klamath Surcharges amount collected through the Oregon Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Surcharge and 25% collected through the Oregon J.C. Boyle Dam Surcharge.

- E. PacifiCorp shall request that the California PUC establish a surcharge so that the amount collected under the California Klamath Surcharge is 8% (a maximum of approximately \$16,000,000) of the Total Customer Contribution. The trustee of the California Klamath Surcharge shall apply 75% of the total California Klamath Surcharge amount collected to the California Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Trust Account and 25% of the total California Klamath Surcharge amount collected to the California J.C. Boyle Dam Trust Account.
- F. PacifiCorp shall collect and remit the surcharges collected pursuant to this section to the trustee(s) described in Section 4.2, below, to be deposited into the appropriate California Klamath Trust Accounts and Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts.
- G. Consistent with Section 2.1 of this Settlement, each non-Federal Party shall support the California Klamath Surcharge and the Oregon Klamath Surcharges in the proceedings conducted by the California PUC and the Oregon PUC, respectively, to the extent the proposed Surcharges are consistent with this Settlement.

4.1.2 The California Bond Funding

- A. The California Legislature has approved a general obligation bond (“Bond Measure”) containing a provision authorizing the issuance of bonds for the amount necessary to fund the difference between the Customer Contribution and the actual cost to complete Facilities Removal, which bond funding in any event shall not exceed \$250,000,000 (in nominal dollars). The bond language is set forth in Appendix G-1. At its sole discretion, the State of California may also consider other appropriate financing mechanisms to assist in funding the difference between the Customer Contribution and the actual cost of complete Facilities Removal, not to exceed \$250,000,000 (in nominal dollars).
- B. Consistent with Applicable Law and Section 2.1, each non-federal Party shall support the Klamath bond language in Appendix G-1; provided that nothing in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to require a Party to support a Bond Measure that includes authorizations unrelated to the implementation of this Settlement.

4.1.3 State Cost Cap

The Customer Contribution and the California Bond Funding shall be the total state contribution and shall be referred to together as the “State Cost Cap.”

4.2 Establishment and Management of Trust Accounts and California Bond Funding

4.2.1 The Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts

- A. In accordance with the Oregon Surcharge Act, the Oregon PUC will establish two interest-bearing accounts where funds collected by PacifiCorp pursuant to the Oregon Klamath Surcharges shall be deposited until needed for Facilities Removal purposes. The Oregon J.C. Boyle Dam Account shall be established to hold funds collected pursuant to the Oregon J.C. Boyle Dam Surcharge. The Oregon Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Account shall be established to hold funds collected pursuant to the Oregon Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Surcharge. The Oregon J.C. Boyle Dam Account and the Oregon Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Account may be referred to together as the “Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts.”
- B. In accordance with the Oregon Surcharge Act, the Oregon PUC will select a trustee to manage the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts. The Parties may recommend a trustee for consideration by the Oregon PUC.

4.2.2 The California Klamath Trust Accounts

- A. Upon execution of this Settlement, California shall request, and each non-Federal Party shall support the request, that the California PUC establish two interest-bearing trust accounts where funds collected by PacifiCorp pursuant to the California Klamath Surcharge for the purpose of Facilities Removal shall be deposited until needed for Facilities Removal purposes. The non-Federal Parties shall also request that California and the California PUC establish the trust accounts in a manner that ensures that the surcharge funds will not be taxable revenues to PacifiCorp. The California J.C. Boyle Dam Trust Account shall be established to hold 25% of the funds collected pursuant to the California Klamath Surcharge. The California Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Trust Account shall be established to hold 75% of the funds collected pursuant to the California Klamath Surcharge. The California J.C. Boyle Dam Trust Account and the California Copco I and II/Iron Gate Dams Trust Account may be referred to together as the “California Klamath Trust Accounts.”
- B. California shall request, and each non-Federal Party shall support the request, that the California PUC select a trustee to accept surcharge

funds from PacifiCorp and manage the California Klamath Trust Accounts. The Parties may recommend a trustee for consideration by the California PUC.

4.2.3 The California Bond Funding

In the event that the Bond Measure is placed on the ballot and approved by voters, bond funds available from the Bond Measure shall be managed pursuant to California bond law; however, the State of California agrees that, to the extent permitted by law, the California Bond Funding shall be managed and disbursed in a manner consistent with and complementary to the management and disbursement of the Customer Contribution.

4.2.4 Management of the Trust Accounts

- A. Within six months of the Effective Date, the States in consultation with the Federal Parties shall prepare draft trustee instructions for submission to the respective PUCs. The States shall then request that the California PUC or another designated agency of the State of California, and the Oregon PUC work cooperatively to prepare joint instructions to the trustee(s) of the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts and California Klamath Trust Accounts, consistent with the draft instructions, as to the following:
- (1) Whether and when to disburse funds from the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts and California Klamath Trust Accounts to the DRE;
 - (2) The methodology to be used by the trustee(s) to determine which account or accounts to draw funds from for the purpose of disbursing funds to the DRE;
 - (3) A protocol for the trustee(s) to use to ensure that the management of the Customer Contribution is consistent with and complementary to the management of the California Bond Funding;
 - (4) Disbursement of funds under the circumstances described in Section 4.4 below;
 - (5) A protocol for reallocating between Trust Accounts monies that have already been deposited into the Trust Accounts, to be used by the trustees, at the request of the States, for removal of specific facilities; and

(6) If the trustee is a federal agency, provisions ensuring that Trust Account monies are not used for any other purpose than Facilities Removal consistent with the trustee instructions and do not become part of any federal agency's or bureau's budget.

B. As necessary, the States, in consultation with PacifiCorp and the DRE, will prepare draft trustee instructions revised as appropriate and request that the California PUC or another designated agency of the state of California, and the Oregon PUC work cooperatively to prepare revised joint instructions to the trustee(s) of the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts and California Klamath Trust Accounts consistent with the draft revised instructions. The States and PacifiCorp will take such other actions as may be reasonably necessary to facilitate the distribution of the Customer Contribution.

4.3 Adjustment to Surcharges

As appropriate, the States shall consult with each other, PacifiCorp, and the Federal Parties regarding adjustments to the California Klamath Surcharge or Oregon Klamath Surcharges necessitated by or appropriate considering the circumstances. Following such consultation, PacifiCorp will request that the California PUC and Oregon PUC adjust the Klamath Surcharges to be consistent with the recommendations developed through the consultation. Any adjustment shall not alter the maximum level of the Customer Contribution or State Cost Cap.

4.4 Disposition of Unnecessary or Unused Funds from the Oregon and/or California Klamath Trust Accounts

- 4.4.1 If, as described in Section 4(5) of the Oregon Surcharge Act, the Oregon Klamath Surcharges are finally determined to result in rates that are not fair, just, and reasonable, the surcharges shall be refunded to customers in accordance with the Oregon Surcharge Act and the trustee instructions.
- 4.4.2 In the event that the Oregon PUC finds that the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts contain funds in excess of actual costs necessary for Facilities Removal, those excess amounts shall be refunded to customers or otherwise used for the benefit of customers as set forth in Section 4(9) of the Oregon Surcharge Act and the trustee instructions.
- 4.4.3 In the event that, following Facilities Removal, the trustee of the California Klamath Trust Account determines that the California Klamath Trust Account contains funds in excess of actual costs necessary for Facilities Removal, the non-Federal Parties shall request that the California PUC order those excess amounts to be refunded to customers or otherwise used for the benefit of customers.

- 4.4.4 If, as a result of the termination of this Settlement, or other cause, one or more Project dams will not be removed:
- A. All or part of the Oregon Klamath Surcharges shall be terminated and the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts disposed as set forth in Section 4(10) of the Oregon Surcharge Act and the trustee instructions; and
 - B. PacifiCorp shall request that the California PUC direct PacifiCorp to terminate all or part of the surcharge, that the California PUC direct the trustee to apply any excess balances in the California Klamath Trust Account to California's allocated share of prudently incurred costs to implement FERC relicensing requirements, and that, if any excess amount remains in the trust accounts after that application, that the California PUC order that the excess amounts be refunded to customers or otherwise be used for the benefit of customers.

4.5 Recovery of Net Investment in Facilities

- 4.5.1 Consistent with Section 3 of the Oregon Surcharge Act, PacifiCorp shall request, and each non-Federal Party shall support the request, that the Oregon PUC allow recovery of PacifiCorp's net investment in the Facilities.
- 4.5.2 PacifiCorp shall request, and each non-Federal Party shall support the request, that the California PUC conduct one or more proceedings to implement the following:
- A. That the California PUC determine a depreciation schedule for each Facility based on the assumption that the Facility will be removed in 2020, and change that depreciation schedule at any time if removal of the Facility will occur in a year other than 2020; and
 - B. That the California PUC use the depreciation schedules adopted consistent with Section 4.5.2.A above to establish rates and tariffs for the recovery of California's allocated share of undepreciated amounts prudently invested by PacifiCorp in the Facilities, with amounts recoverable including but not limited to:
 - (1) Return on investment and return of investment;
 - (2) Capital improvements required by the Federal Parties or any agency of the United States or any agency of the States for the continued operation of the Facility until Facility removal;

- (3) Amounts spent by PacifiCorp in seeking relicensing of the Project before the Effective Date of this Settlement;
- (4) Amounts spent by PacifiCorp for settlement of issues relating to relicensing or removal of the Facilities; and
- (5) Amounts spent by PacifiCorp for the Decommissioning of the Facilities in anticipation of Facilities Removal.

C. If any amount has not been recovered by PacifiCorp before a Facility is removed, PacifiCorp shall request, and each non-Federal Party shall support the request, that the California PUC allow recovery of that amount by PacifiCorp in PacifiCorp's rates and tariffs.

4.5.3 Rates and tariffs proposed pursuant to this Section 4.5 shall be separate from, and shall not diminish the funds collected by, the Oregon and California Klamath Surcharges.

4.6 Recovery of Costs of Ongoing Operations and Replacement Power

4.6.1 Consistent with Section 6 of the Oregon Surcharge Act, PacifiCorp shall request, and each non-Federal Party shall support the request, that the Oregon PUC allow recovery of other costs incurred by PacifiCorp.

4.6.2 Subject to Section 2.1.2, each non-Federal Party shall support PacifiCorp's request to the California PUC for PacifiCorp to include in rates and tariffs California's allocated share of any costs that are prudently incurred by PacifiCorp from changes in operation of Facilities, including reductions to generation from the Facilities before removal of the Facilities and for replacement power after the dams are removed.

4.6.3 Rates and tariffs proposed pursuant to this Section 4.6 shall be separate from, and shall not diminish the funds collected by, the Oregon and California Klamath Surcharges.

4.7 Treatment of Costs Related to Future Portfolio Standards and Climate Change Legislation

The Parties agree to Meet and Confer at PacifiCorp's request regarding provisions to address potential customer impacts from renewable portfolio standards and climate change emissions requirements.

4.8 Acknowledgment of Independence of Oregon PUC and California PUC

The Parties acknowledge that the Oregon PUC and California PUC each is a separate state agency that is not bound by this Settlement. Nothing in this Settlement expands,

limits, or otherwise affects any authority of the respective commissions regarding the customer surcharges and trust accounts, recovery of net investment, or recovery of costs of ongoing operations or replacement power. Because the Parties cannot provide assurance that either commission will decide to or be allowed to implement any of the provisions for funding Facilities Removal, failure of a commission to do so is not a breach of this Settlement by any Party.

4.9 Consultation

Before filing the requests to the California PUC and Oregon PUC described in Sections 4.5 and 4.6, above, PacifiCorp shall undertake to consult with the Parties, pursuant to a confidentiality agreement among the Parties or a protective order issued by the relevant PUC, so that the requested rates can be explained and the basis for such rates can be provided. Further, before any request to the California PUC or the Oregon PUC to reduce or increase a surcharge in the event the amount needed for Customer Contribution is determined to be less or more than the level of Customer Contribution specified in Section 7.3.2.A, the States and PacifiCorp shall undertake to consult with all Parties.

4.10 United States Not Responsible for Costs of Facilities Removal

The United States shall not be liable or responsible for costs of Facilities Removal.

4.11 Parties' Costs Related to Facilities Removal

Subject to Section 4.4, the funds accumulated pursuant to Section 4 are solely for use in accomplishing Facilities Removal, including but not limited to development of the Definite Plan, all necessary permitting and environmental compliance actions, and construction/project management for Facilities Removal. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as a limitation on the State of California's use of California Bond Funding, or funds collected pursuant to the California Klamath Surcharge and deposited into the California Copco 1 and 2 and Iron Gate Dams Trust Account, for environmental review; provided the use of any funds from California Copco 1 and 2 and Iron Gate Dams Trust Account may be offset by California Bond Funds to achieve the target dates set forth in Section 7.3.

4.12 Funding and Grant Agreements

4.12.1 On or around June 15, 2016, CNRA will enter into an agreement with the Oregon state agency designated by the Secretary under Section 3.2 pertaining to the use of funds from the Customer Contribution and California Bond Funding.

4.12.2 On or around June 15, 2016, the Oregon state agency designated by the Secretary under Section 3.2 will enter into a grant agreement with the DRE. The grant agreement will include conditions not inconsistent with

the Settlement pertaining to the use of the Oregon Klamath Trust Accounts.

4.12.3 On or around June 15, 2016, CNRA will enter into a funding agreement with the DRE and any other entity as appropriate. The funding agreement will include conditions not inconsistent with the Settlement pertaining to the use of the California Klamath Trust Accounts.

4.12.4 Following appropriation by the California legislature and consistent with the agreement in Section 4.12.1, CNRA will enter into a grant agreement(s) with the DRE. The grant agreement(s) shall include conditions not inconsistent with the Settlement pertaining to the use of the California Bond Funding.

5. Local Community Power

5.1 Power Development

5.1.1 PacifiCorp and the irrigation-related Parties will in good faith cooperate in the investigation or consideration of joint development and ownership of renewable generation resources and the purchase by PacifiCorp of power from renewable energy projects developed by KWAPA or other parties related to the Klamath Reclamation Project or off-project irrigators. PacifiCorp and interested Public Agency Parties will in good faith cooperate in the investigation or consideration of joint development and ownership of potential renewable generation resources and the purchase by PacifiCorp of power from renewable energy projects developed by interested Public Agency Parties. Nothing in this Settlement requires any Party to enter into a specific transaction related to such development, ownership or purchase, but PacifiCorp, interested Public Agency Parties and the irrigation-related Parties desire to take actions in their mutual beneficial interest where opportunities arise.

5.1.2 Pursuant to that certain Memorandum of Understanding dated October 15, 2001 among the Western Governors Association and various federal agencies, the Secretary and the State of California shall seek to designate Siskiyou County as a Western Renewable Energy Zone and the Secretary and the State of Oregon shall seek to designate Klamath County as a Western Renewable Energy Zone. The Federal Parties will work with the Counties and other Parties to explore and identify potential ways to expand transmission capacity for renewable resources within the Counties.

5.2 [Section deleted]

5.3 Transmission and Distribution of Energy

Interior, KWAPA, KWUA and UKWUA agree that federal power can contribute to meeting power cost targets for irrigation in the Upper Klamath Basin. To that end, and consistent with applicable standards of service and the Pacific Northwest Power Planning and Conservation Act, 16 U.S.C. § 839 *et seq.*, Interior will acquire power from the Bonneville Power Administration (“Bonneville”) to serve all “eligible loads” located within Bonneville’s authorized geographic area. Interior and Bonneville will engage in an open and transparent process that will provide for public review and comment on any proposed agreement. For purposes of the acquisition of federal power, Interior defines Klamath eligible loads to include both on and off-project loads. Such acquisitions are subject to Bonneville’s then effective marketing policies, contracts, and applicable priority firm power rate.

For an additional, standard transmission charge, Bonneville will deliver power to PacifiCorp at the Captain Jack or Malin substations or other points as may be mutually agreed to by Bonneville and PacifiCorp (“Points of Delivery”) and PacifiCorp will deliver the energy to eligible loads under applicable tariffs.

Interior, KWAPA, KWUA, UKWUA and PacifiCorp agree to continue to work in good faith to identify and implement a mutually agreeable approach for delivering acquired federal power to eligible loads. PacifiCorp agrees to receive any federal power at the Points of Delivery and to deliver such power to the eligible loads pursuant and subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 5.3.1 The terms and conditions related to accessing PacifiCorp’s transmission system, to the extent that it is necessary, will be consistent with PacifiCorp’s Open Access Transmission Tariff (“OATT”).
- 5.3.2 The terms and conditions related to accessing PacifiCorp’s distribution system will remain subject to the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission for distribution facilities located in California and the Oregon Public Utility Commission for distribution facilities located in Oregon. In California and Oregon, the respective PUCs have approved unbundled delivery service tariffs for PacifiCorp to implement direct access legislation. The Parties agree that these unbundled delivery service tariffs can enable the delivery of federal power. For power acquired by Interior from Bonneville, PacifiCorp will charge an unbundled distribution rate that is based on the Oregon Commission-approved tariff applicable to the delivery of Bonneville power to eligible loads in Oregon.

To the extent that PacifiCorp’s existing tariffs require revision in order to allow PacifiCorp to implement the mutually agreeable approach, PacifiCorp shall request such revision by the Commission having jurisdiction.

The Parties understand and agree that PacifiCorp shall recover its costs incurred in providing the delivery services required under the mutually agreeable approach and that such services will not be subsidized by PacifiCorp's other retail customers. PacifiCorp, Interior, KWUA, KWAPA, and UKWUA agree to work cooperatively to identify and analyze, as necessary, PacifiCorp's costs for delivery services as part of identification of any such mutually agreeable approach. The Parties further agree that the costs of providing delivery services will be recovered pursuant to a tariff or tariffs established by the respective PUC based on cost-of-service principles and a finding by the PUC that the rates charged under the tariff[s] are fair, just, reasonable and sufficient.

- 5.3.3 PacifiCorp agrees to work in good faith to develop mutually agreeable revisions to existing provisions of state or federal law, if necessary to implement the mutually agreeable approach.
- 5.3.4 PacifiCorp agrees to work in good faith with Bonneville, Interior, KWAPA, KWUA and UKWUA and other Parties as the case may be, to resolve, on a mutually agreeable basis, any technical and administrative issues (such as billing and metering) that may arise with respect to PacifiCorp's delivery of power to the eligible loads.
- 5.3.5 It is the Parties' intent that this Agreement will not require PacifiCorp to modify its existing transmission or distribution facilities. PacifiCorp may elect to do so at the sole cost and expense of the Party or entity requesting such modification.
- 5.3.6 At such time as the eligible loads are prepared to and technically able to receive federal power, PacifiCorp, Interior, KWAPA, KWUA and UKWUA agree to work cooperatively with each other to transition the eligible loads from full retail service on a mutually agreeable basis. The Parties acknowledge that for any eligible load that has received federal power pursuant to this section, PacifiCorp will no longer have the obligation to plan for or meet the generation requirements for these loads in the future, provided, however, that PacifiCorp agrees to work cooperatively to provide generation services to eligible loads in a manner that is cost-neutral to other PacifiCorp customers in the event that a contract for federal power is no longer available. Interior, KWAPA, KWUA and UKWUA agree to provide notice to PacifiCorp as soon as practicable after becoming aware that federal power will no longer be available to serve any eligible loads.
- 5.3.7 Interior, in consultation with KWAPA, KWUA and UKWUA, shall Timely develop a preliminary identification of the eligible loads for purposes of Section 5.3. Interior, in consultation with KWAPA, KWUA and UKWUA, shall provide notification to PacifiCorp identifying the final

eligible loads for purposes of Section 5.3, not later than 120 days before delivery of federal power to any such eligible loads is to begin. The mutually agreeable approach will address the manner by which Interior provides notification to PacifiCorp of any changes to eligible loads.

- 5.3.8 Interior agrees to work cooperatively to assign or delegate or transition functions of Interior to KWAPA or another appropriate entity subject to the terms of this Section.
- 5.3.9 If Interior or KWAPA or UKWUA are able to acquire power from any entity other than Bonneville for eligible loads in either Oregon or California, PacifiCorp, KWAPA, UKWUA, Interior, and KWUA, as applicable, will work cooperatively to agree on a method for transmission and delivery.
- 5.3.10 Upon termination of this Settlement, PacifiCorp agrees to provide service under the terms of its approved delivery tariff until or unless the respective PUC determines that the applicable tariff should no longer be in place. It is the intention of PacifiCorp, Interior, KWUA, KWAPA, and UKWUA that the general principles of cooperation expressed in Section 5 continue beyond the term of this Settlement.

5.4 Irrigator Rates

In consultation with Klamath Basin irrigators, PacifiCorp will continue to explore alternative rate structures and programs, such as time-of-use rates or demand control programs.

6. **Interim Operations**

6.1 General

Interim Measures under this Settlement consist of: (1) Interim Measures included as part of PacifiCorp's Interim Conservation Plan ("ICP Interim Measures") (Appendix C); and (2) Interim Measures not included in the Interim Conservation Plan ("Non-ICP Measures") (Appendix D). In addition, PacifiCorp's Interim Conservation Plan includes certain measures for protection of listed sucker species not included as part of this Settlement.

6.1.1 PacifiCorp Performance

PacifiCorp shall perform the Interim Measures in accordance with the terms and schedule set forth in Appendices C and D as long as this Settlement is in effect during the Interim Period. However, if this Settlement terminates, PacifiCorp shall continue performance of the Iron Gate Turbine Venting until the time FERC issues an order in the relicensing proceeding. PacifiCorp shall have no obligation

under this Settlement to perform any other of the Interim Measures if this Settlement terminates, but may implement certain ICP and Non-ICP Interim Measures for ESA or CWA purposes or for any other reason. PacifiCorp reserves its right to initiate termination pursuant to Section 8.11.1.C, if the Services fail to provide incidental take authorization in a Timely way.

6.1.2 Duty to Support

Subject to the reservations in Sections 1.6, 6.2, and 6.3.4, each Party shall support the Interim Measures set forth in Appendices C and D, and will not advocate additional or alternative measures for the protection of environmental resources affected by the Project during the Interim Period.

6.1.3 Permitting

A. PacifiCorp or the DRE (as applicable) shall comply with all federal, state, and local laws and obtain all federal, state, and local permits related to Interim Measures, to the extent such laws and permits are applicable.

B. FERC Enforcement and Jurisdiction

(1) The Parties agree that enforcement of the terms of the current license, as extended through annual licenses, shall be exclusively through FERC. If the annual license is amended to incorporate any of the Interim Measures, a Party may seek compliance pursuant to any remedies it may have under Applicable Law.

(2) Subject to the reservations in Section 6.3.4, PacifiCorp will implement Interim Measures and the Klamath River TMDLs, subject to any necessary FERC or other Regulatory Approvals.

6.1.4 Interim Power Operations

Consistent with the operation and maintenance agreement contemplated in Section 7.1.6, PacifiCorp shall continue to operate the Facilities for the benefit of customers and retain all rights to the power from the Facilities until each Facility is transferred and Decommissioned, including all rights to any power generated during the time between transfer of the Facility to the DRE and Decommissioning of the Facility by PacifiCorp.

6.1.5 Adjustment for Inflation

For any funding obligation under a Non-ICP Interim Measure in Appendix D expressly made subject to adjustment for inflation, the following formula shall be applied at the time of payment:

$$AD = D \times (CPI-U_t) / (CPI-U_o)$$

WHERE:

AD = Adjusted dollar amount payable.

D = Dollar amount prescribed in the Interim Measure.

CPI-U_t = the value of the published version of the Consumer Price Index-Urban for the month of September in the year prior to the date a dollar amount is payable. (The CPI-U is published monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the federal Department of Labor. If that index ceases to be published, any reasonably equivalent index published by the Bureau of Economic Analysis may be substituted by written agreement of the Parties.)

CPI-U_o = the value of the Consumer Price Index-Urban for the month and year corresponding to the Effective Date of this Settlement.

6.2 Interim Conservation Plan

6.2.1 Application by PacifiCorp

PacifiCorp shall apply to the Services pursuant to ESA Section 10 and applicable implementing regulations to incorporate the Interim Conservation Plan measures, including both Appendix C (ICP Interim Measures) and the Interim Conservation Plan measures for protection of listed sucker species not included in Appendix C, into an incidental take permit. PacifiCorp also may apply in the future to FERC to incorporate some or all of the Interim Conservation Plan measures as an amendment to the current annual license for the Project.

6.2.2 Applicable Actions by the Services under the ESA

The Services shall review PacifiCorp's application to incorporate the Interim Conservation Plan measures into an incidental take permit pursuant to ESA Section 10 and applicable implementing regulations. Subject to Section 2.1.2, each Party shall support PacifiCorp's request for a license amendment or incidental take permit to incorporate the Interim Conservation Plan measures. Provided, however, the Services reserve their right to reassess these interim measures, as applicable, in: (1) developing a biological opinion pursuant to ESA Section 7 or reviewing an application for an incidental take permit pursuant to ESA Section 10 and applicable implementing regulations; (2) reinitiating consultation on any final biological opinion pursuant to applicable implementing regulations; or (3) revoking any final incidental take permit pursuant to the ESA, applicable implementing regulations, or the terms of the permit. Provided further, other Parties reserve any applicable right to oppose any such actions by the Services.

6.2.3 Potential Modifications of Measures

The Services shall provide the Parties Notice upon issuance of any final biological opinion or incidental take permit issued by the Services pursuant to the ESA regarding the ICP Interim Measures (Appendix C). If the terms of any such final biological opinion or incidental take permit include revisions to the ICP Interim Measures, those measures in the Settlement shall be deemed modified to conform to the provisions of the biological opinion or incidental take permit if PacifiCorp agrees to such modifications. If PacifiCorp does not agree to such modifications, PacifiCorp reserves the right to withdraw its application for license amendment or refuse to accept an incidental take permit regarding the ICP Interim Measures.

6.3 TMDLs

6.3.1 PacifiCorp Implementation

Subject to the provisions of this Section 6.3.1, PacifiCorp agrees to implement load allocations and targets assigned the Project under the States' respective Klamath River TMDLs, in accordance with OAR chapter 340, Division 42, and California Water Code Division 7, Chapter 4, Article 3. It is the expectation of the Parties that the implementation of the commitments in this Settlement, coupled with Facilities Removal by the DRE, will meet each State's applicable TMDL requirements. PacifiCorp's commitment to develop and carry out TMDL implementation plans in accordance with this Settlement is not an endorsement by any Party of the TMDLs or load allocations therein.

6.3.2 TMDL Implementation Plans

- A. No later than 60 days after ODEQ's and the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's (NCRWQCB's) approval, respectively, of a TMDL for the Klamath River, PacifiCorp shall submit to ODEQ and NCRWQCB, as applicable, proposed TMDL implementation plans for agency approval. The TMDL implementation plans shall be developed in consultation with ODEQ and NCRWQCB.
- B. To the extent consistent with this Settlement, PacifiCorp shall prepare the TMDL implementation plans in accordance with OAR 340-042-0080(3) and California Water Code section 13242, respectively. The plans shall include a timeline for implementing management strategies and shall incorporate water quality-related measures in the Non-ICP Interim Measures set forth in Appendix D. Facilities Removal by the DRE shall be the final measure in the timeline. At PacifiCorp's discretion, the proposed plans may further include other planned activities and management strategies developed individually or cooperatively with other sources or designated management agencies. ODEQ and NCRWQCB may authorize PacifiCorp's use of offsite

pollutant reduction measures, subject to an iterative evaluation and approval process; provided, any ODEQ authorization of such offsite measures conducted in Oregon solely to facilitate attainment of load allocations in California waters shall not create an ODEQ obligation to administer or enforce the measures.

6.3.3 Keno Load Allocation

Subject to Section 6.3.4, in addition to other Project facilities and affected waters, PacifiCorp's TMDL implementation plan under Section 6.3.2 shall include water quality-related measures in the Non-ICP Interim Measures set forth in Appendix D that are relevant to the Keno facility and affected waters for which the Project is assigned a load allocation. PacifiCorp shall implement Keno load allocations in accordance with the approved TMDL implementation plan under Section 6.3 up until the time of transfer of title to the Keno facility to Interior. Upon transfer of title to the Keno facility as set forth in Section 7.5 of this Settlement, the load allocations shall no longer be PacifiCorp's responsibility. Funding, if necessary, for post-transfer Keno load allocation implementation requirements will be provided by other non-PacifiCorp sources.

6.3.4 TMDL Reservations

- A. PacifiCorp's TMDL implementation obligations under this Settlement are limited to the water quality-related measures in the Interim Measures set forth in Appendices C and D and any additional or different measures agreed to by PacifiCorp and incorporated into an approved TMDL implementation plan. If a TMDL implementation plan for PacifiCorp as finally approved, or a final discharge permit or other regulatory decision intended to implement a TMDL or water quality standard or regulation, requires measures that have not been agreed to by PacifiCorp and that are materially inconsistent with the Interim Measures, PacifiCorp may initiate termination under Section 8.11.1.C.
- B. PacifiCorp reserves the right to seek modification of a TMDL implementation plan in the event this Settlement terminates. The States reserve their authorities under the CWA and state law to revise or require submission of new TMDL implementation plans in the event this Settlement terminates or an implementation plan measure or Facilities Removal does not occur in accordance with the timeline in the approved implementation plans. Other Parties reserve whatever rights they may have under existing law to challenge the TMDLs or TMDL implementation plans in the event this Settlement terminates.
- C. To the extent it possesses rights outside of this Settlement, no Party waives any right to contest: a Klamath River TMDL; specific TMDL

load allocation; decision on a PacifiCorp TMDL implementation plan; or final discharge permit or other regulatory decision intended to implement a TMDL or water quality standard or regulation, if materially inconsistent with this Settlement.

6.4 Other Project Works

6.4.1 East Side/West Side Facilities

- A. PacifiCorp will apply to FERC for an order approving partial surrender of the Project license for the purpose of decommissioning the East Side/West Side generating facilities unless PacifiCorp, in consultation with the state of Oregon, the Federal Parties, and the Tribes, agrees to an alternative disposition of these facilities. PacifiCorp will file the application consistent with applicable FERC regulations, and after consultation with the Parties. Notwithstanding Section 2.1.2, the Parties reserve their rights to submit comments and otherwise participate in the FERC proceeding regarding the conditions under which decommissioning should occur. PacifiCorp reserves the right to withdraw its surrender application for these facilities if any FERC order or other Regulatory Approval in connection with the surrender application would impose unreasonable conditions on that surrender.
- B. Upon FERC approval, and in coordination with Reclamation and pursuant to Section 7.5.2, PacifiCorp shall decommission the East Side/West Side facilities in accordance with the FERC order approving the decommissioning, with the costs of such decommissioning to be recovered by PacifiCorp through standard ratemaking proceedings.
- C. Upon completion of decommissioning and subject to FERC's and state requirements, PacifiCorp and Interior shall discuss possible transfer of the following lands to Interior: Klamath County Map Tax Lots R-3809-00000-05800-000, R-3809-00000-05900-000, and R-3809-00000-05700-000, or any other mutually-agreeable lands associated with the East Side and West Side Facilities on terms and conditions acceptable to PacifiCorp and Interior.

6.4.2 Fall Creek Hydroelectric Facility

PacifiCorp will continue to operate the Fall Creek hydroelectric facility under FERC's jurisdiction unless and until such time as it transfers the facility to another entity or the facility is otherwise disposed of in compliance with Applicable Law.

6.5 Abeyance of Relicensing Proceeding

- 6.5.1 Within 30 days of the Amendment Effective Date, PacifiCorp will file the Settlement with FERC and an expedited motion asking FERC to hold PacifiCorp's Project relicensing proceeding in abeyance. Each Party agrees to refrain from any action that does not support PacifiCorp's request to abate the FERC relicensing docket for the Project. The motion will specify that the abeyance should remain in effect while the DRE's surrender application is pending and until after FERC takes action on the DRE's surrender application as provided in Section 7.1.7.A.
- 6.5.2 Within 15 days after FERC issues an abeyance order for the Project relicensing proceeding, PacifiCorp will withdraw its CWA Section 401 certification applications currently pending before the California State Water Resources Control Board and ODEQ.
- 6.5.3 If FERC denies PacifiCorp's motion to abate or fails to rule on the motion before July 1, 2016, PacifiCorp will ask the California State Water Resources Control Board and the ODEQ to abate permitting and environmental review for PacifiCorp's FERC Project No. 2082 licensing activities, including but not limited to water quality certifications under Section 401 of the CWA and review under CEQA, during the Interim Period. If FERC does not hold the Project relicensing proceeding in abeyance, PacifiCorp will withdraw and re-file its relicensing applications for Section 401 certifications as necessary to avoid the certifications being deemed waived under the CWA during the Interim Period.
- 6.5.4 If no abeyance of relicensing proceedings is approved by FERC or, as applicable, the California State Water Resources Control Board or the ODEQ, or an abeyance is ordered then later lifted, then the Parties are excused from their duty to support this Settlement to the extent necessary to maintain their rights and arguments in the Project relicensing proceedings, and any Party may initiate the Meet and Confer procedures described in Section 8.7.

7. **DRE, Transfer, Surrender, and Facilities Removal**

This section describes the measures, schedule, and regulatory compliance during transfer, surrender, and removal of Facilities under this Settlement.

7.1 DRE

7.1.1 Execution of Settlement

The Parties expect that the DRE will become a Party by executing the Settlement on or around July 1, 2016, as provided in Section 9.4.

7.1.2 Capabilities

- A. The Parties agree that the DRE must possess the legal, technical, and financial capacity to:
- (1) Accept and expend non-federal funds consistent with Section 4.2.4;
 - (2) Accept transfer of the FERC license and title for the Facilities from PacifiCorp;
 - (3) Seek and obtain necessary permits and other authorizations to implement Facilities Removal;
 - (4) Enter into appropriate contracts and grant agreements for effectuating Facilities Removal;
 - (5) Perform, directly or by oversight, Facilities Removal;
 - (6) Prevent, mitigate, and respond to damages the DRE or any of its contractors, subcontractors, or assigns cause during the course of Facilities Removal, and, consistent with Applicable Law, respond to and defend associated liability claims against the DRE or any of its contractors, subcontractors, or assigns, including costs thereof and any judgments or awards resulting therefrom;
 - (7) Carry the required insurance and bonding set forth in Appendix L to respond to liability and damages claims associated with Facilities Removal against the DRE or any of its contractors, subcontractors, or assigns;
 - (8) Meet the deadlines set forth in Exhibit 4; and
 - (9) Perform such other tasks as are reasonable and necessary for Facilities Removal.
- B. Before the DRE and PacifiCorp file the joint application to transfer the license for the Facilities, the DRE will Timely demonstrate to the reasonable satisfaction of the States and PacifiCorp that it possesses the legal, technical, and financial capacity to accomplish the tasks in Sections 7.1.2.A(1) through (5), (8), and (9). PacifiCorp and the States will consult if the DRE fails to make the demonstration required in this subsection.
- C. Within six months of the DRE's execution of the Settlement, the DRE will include in an informational filing in the FERC license transfer

proceeding proof that it possesses the legal, technical, and financial capacity to accomplish the tasks in Sections 7.1.2.A(6) and (7). This filing will include documentation that the DRE meets the requirements of Parts II, III, and IV of Appendix L and is capable of fulfilling its obligations under Section 7.1.3. The DRE will not provide the filing if either of the States or PacifiCorp objects to the filing after a reasonable opportunity to review before submission to FERC. The six-month deadline may be changed by agreement of the DRE, the States, and PacifiCorp. The Parties will Meet and Confer if the DRE fails to provide the informational filing to FERC.

7.1.3 Liability Protection

- A. By executing this Settlement, the DRE agrees, on its behalf and on behalf of the DRE's employees, contractors, subcontractors, and authorized agents or assigns to indemnify, hold harmless, and defend PacifiCorp, the state of California, and the state of Oregon for, from, and against any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, liabilities, monetary or non-monetary harms or expense arising from, relating to, or triggered by Facilities Removal, including but not limited to:
- (1) Harm, injury, or damage to persons, real property, tangible property, natural resources, biota, or the environment;
 - (2) Harm, injury, or damage caused by the release, migration, movement, or exacerbation of any material, object, or substance, including without limitation hazardous substances; and
 - (3) Breaches or violations of any Applicable Law, Regulatory Approval, authorization, agreement, license, permit, or other legal requirement of any kind.
- B. If the DRE partially assigns its responsibilities under this Settlement, the DRE and its assign will be jointly and severally obligated under this section.

7.1.4 License Transfer Conditions and Timing

Before the FERC license transfer to the DRE will become effective, the DRE must demonstrate to PacifiCorp's and the States' reasonable satisfaction that the DRE has met the obligations in Appendix L and the following conditions:

- A. The DRE has provided Notices required under Section 7.2.1.B;
- B. The DRE has met the requirements of Section 7.1.3 and Appendix L;

- C. PacifiCorp and the States agree that the DRE has made sufficient and Timely progress in obtaining necessary permits and approvals to effectuate Facilities Removal;
- D. The DRE, the States, and PacifiCorp are assured that sufficient funding is available to carry out Facilities Removal;
- E. The DRE, the States, and PacifiCorp are each assured that their respective risks associated with Facilities Removal have been sufficiently mitigated consistent with Appendix L;
- F. The DRE, the States, and PacifiCorp agree that no order of a court or FERC is in effect that would prevent Facilities Removal;
- G. The DRE and PacifiCorp have executed documents conveying the property and rights necessary to carry out Facilities Removal; and
- H. The DRE accepts license transfer under the conditions specified by FERC in its order approving transfer.

7.1.5 FERC Application for Transfer

- A. On or around July 1, 2016, PacifiCorp and the DRE will jointly file an application to remove the Facilities from the Project license, redesignate the Facilities with a new project number, and transfer the redesignated FERC license for the Facilities to the DRE.
- B. The application for transfer may include proposals to decommission the East Side and West Side facilities, subject to Section 6.4.1 of this Settlement; remove the Keno facilities from the Project license under Section 7.5 of this Settlement; and transfer the Fall Creek development to a third party for purposes of relicensing.
- C. PacifiCorp and the DRE will file the joint application for transfer at FERC concurrent with the DRE's application for surrender and removal of the Facilities, retaining the 2020 target date for Facilities Removal.
- D. The joint application for transfer will request that FERC incorporate the conditions in Section 7.1.4 into the transfer order and require that transfer will not become effective until the DRE, or PacifiCorp and the DRE jointly (as appropriate), file notice with FERC when those conditions have been satisfied.

7.1.6 Operation and Maintenance Agreement

On or around July 1, 2016, the DRE and PacifiCorp will enter into an operation and maintenance agreement allowing PacifiCorp to continue operating the Facilities for the benefit of its customers following transfer of the FERC Facilities license to the DRE. The conditions of operation under this agreement will be consistent with interim operations described in Section 6 and Appendices B, C, and D, and will include requirements that PacifiCorp pay all costs associated with operating the Facilities and indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the DRE with respect to those operations. The DRE and PacifiCorp will obtain the concurrence of the States for any such agreement.

7.1.7 FERC Application for Surrender

- A. Concurrently with the joint application for license transfer, the DRE will file an application with FERC to surrender the FERC license for the Facilities for the purpose of Facilities Removal, which will include a copy of this Settlement and the Detailed Plan. The DRE will request that FERC defer acting on the application until the conditions in Section 7.1.4 are satisfied. The DRE will take any action necessary to obtain necessary FERC authorization to carry out Facilities Removal in accordance with this Settlement. PacifiCorp will provide technical support to the DRE and to FERC in processing the surrender application, but will not be a co-applicant or co-licensee on the surrender application unless otherwise mutually agreed upon with the DRE.
- B. Concurrently with the joint application for license transfer and the DRE's application to FERC for surrender, the DRE will file applications seeking state water quality 401 certifications for Facilities Removal with the California State Water Resources Control Board and the ODEQ.

7.1.8 Performance of Facilities Removal

The DRE will perform Facilities Removal in accordance with the Definite Plan, as approved and as may be modified by the FERC surrender order and other applicable Regulatory Approvals. The DRE will complete final design and cost estimates before initiating Facilities Removal.

7.1.9 Other Regulatory Approvals for Facilities Removal

The DRE will take any action necessary to obtain other Regulatory Approvals necessary to effectuate Facilities Removal in accordance with this Settlement, except that PacifiCorp will file and support applications to obtain the necessary

state commission approvals for the transfer of assets to the DRE in accordance with this Settlement.

7.1.10 Assignment

The DRE may assign to another entity any of its responsibilities under this Settlement, including the DRE responsibilities described in this section. This assignment is subject to any necessary Regulatory Approvals. The DRE may not assign its responsibilities under this Settlement without the prior written consent of the States and PacifiCorp.

7.2 Definite Plan and Detailed Plan

7.2.1 Development and Use of Definite Plan

The DRE will develop a Definite Plan for Facilities Removal that, once completed, may be included as a part of any applications for permits or other authorizations. The Definite Plan must be consistent with this Settlement.

A. Elements of Definite Plan

The Definite Plan may be based on all elements of the Detailed Plan described in Section 7.2.2 and will be consistent with FERC requirements for surrender. Such elements shall be in the form required for physical performance, such as engineering specifications for a construction activity, and shall also include consideration of prudent cost overrun management tools such as performance bonds. The Definite Plan shall also include:

- (1) A detailed estimate of the actual or foreseeable costs associated with: the physical performance of Facilities Removal consistent with the Detailed Plan; each of the tasks associated with the performance of the DRE's obligations as stated in Section 7.1; seeking and securing permits and other authorizations; and insurance, performance bond, or similar measures, as set forth in Appendix L to this Settlement;
- (2) The DRE's analysis demonstrating that the total cost of Facilities Removal is likely to be less than the State Cost Cap, which is the total of Customer Contribution and California Bond Funding as specified in Section 4;
- (3) Appropriate procedures consistent with state law to provide for cost-effective expenditures within the cost estimates stated in (1);
- (4) Accounting procedures that will result in the earliest practicable disclosure of any actual or foreseeable overrun of cost of any task relative to the detailed estimate stated in (1); and

- (5) Appropriate mechanisms to modify or suspend performance of any task subject to such overrun. Upon receipt of Notice from the DRE of any actual or foreseeable cost overrun pursuant to (2), the Parties shall use the Meet and Confer procedures to modify the task (to the extent permitted by the FERC surrender order, an applicable permit, or other authorization) or to modify this Settlement as appropriate to permit Facilities Removal to proceed.

B. Notice of Completion

The DRE shall provide Notice to the Parties upon completion of the Definite Plan.

C. Use of Definite Plan

The DRE must incorporate the Definite Plan, once completed, into any FERC application to surrender the Facilities license. After FERC issues an order on the FERC Facilities license surrender application, the Parties will review the consistency of the Definite Plan, FERC's surrender order, and this Settlement. If either of the States or the DRE finds that the FERC surrender order is materially inconsistent (as defined in Section 8.11.2) with the Definite Plan or this Settlement, either the DRE or the States may initiate Meet and Confer proceedings.

7.2.2 Detailed Plan for Facilities Removal

The Secretary developed the Detailed Plan, which may serve as a basis for the Definite Plan described in Section 7.2.1.A. The Detailed Plan includes A through F below; G is addressed in Appendix L and will be fully developed in the Definite Plan; H will be addressed during solicitation and selection of engineering and construction contract(s) for development of a Definite Plan and for Facilities Removal.

- A. The physical methods to be undertaken to effect Facilities Removal, including but not limited to a timetable for Facilities Removal, which is removal of all or part of each Facility as necessary to effect a free-flowing condition and volitional fish passage as defined in Section 1.4;
- B. As necessary and appropriate, plans for management, removal, and/or disposal of sediment, debris, and other materials;
- C. A plan for site remediation and restoration;
- D. A plan for measures to avoid or minimize adverse downstream impacts;

- E. A plan for compliance with all Applicable Laws, including anticipated permits and permit conditions;
- F. A detailed statement of the estimated costs of Facilities Removal;
- G. A statement of measures to reduce risks of cost overruns, delays, or other impediments to Facilities Removal; and
- H. The qualifications, management, and oversight of a non-federal DRE.

7.2.3 Assessment and Mitigation of Potential Impacts to the City of Yreka

The Parties understand that actions related to this Settlement may affect the City of Yreka. In recognition of this potential, the Parties agree to the following provisions, which shall remain in effect so long as this Settlement remains in effect.

- A. The Parties collectively and each Party individually shall agree not to oppose the City of Yreka's continued use of California State Water Right Permit 15379, which provides for the diversion of up to 15 cfs for municipal uses by the City of Yreka.
- B. As part of implementation of this Settlement, an engineering assessment to study the potential risks to the City of Yreka's water supply facilities as a result of implementation of Facilities Removal shall be funded and conducted by the Secretary. Actions identified in the engineering assessment necessary to assure continued use of the existing, or equivalent replacement, water supply facilities by the City of Yreka shall be funded from the California Bond Measure and implemented. Actions that may be required as a result of the engineering assessment and in consultation with the City of Yreka include, but are not limited to:
 - (1) Relocation, replacement, and/or burial of the existing 24-inch diameter water line and transmission facilities from the City of Yreka's Fall Creek diversion;
 - (2) Assessment, mitigation, and/or funding to address potential damage to the City of Yreka's facilities located along the Klamath River, including mitigation of potential impacts that may occur as a result of a dam breach. Such assessment, mitigation, and/or funding shall include consideration of the cathodic protection field located near the north bank of the Iron Gate crossing and the facilities that house the City's diversion and pump station; and

(3) Assessment, mitigation, and/or funding to address any impacts resulting from implementation of the Settlement, on the ability of the City to divert water consistent with its Water Right Permit 15379.

C. As part of implementation of this Settlement, an assessment of the potential need for fish screens on the City of Yreka's Fall Creek diversion facilities was completed in the Detailed Plan and it identified the need for fish screens on Dam A and Dam B. As a result of implementation of this Settlement, in order to meet regulatory requirements and screening criteria, construction of the required fish screens, including, but not limited to, necessary costs to preserve City facilities with additional species protection, shall be funded through the California Bond Measure pursuant to Section 4.2.3, or through other appropriate sources.

7.3 Schedule for Facilities Removal

7.3.1 The Parties agree that the target date to begin Facilities Removal is January 1, 2020. The Parties agree that preparatory work for Facilities Removal may be undertaken by the DRE before January 1, 2020, consistent with the Definite Plan, applicable permits, and Section 6 of this Settlement; provided such preparatory work shall not have any negative impact on PacifiCorp's generation operations at the Facilities. The Parties further agree to a target date of December 31, 2020 for completion of Facilities Removal at least to a degree sufficient to enable a free-flowing Klamath River allowing volitional fish passage.

7.3.2 The Parties acknowledge and agree that the schedule to accomplish Facilities Removal will be determined by the DRE in accordance with Section 7.3.4. The Parties intend to implement this Settlement based on the following approach to achieve the target dates for Decommissioning and Facilities Removal set forth in Section 7.3.1:

A. Collect \$172 million of the total Customer Contribution by December 31, 2019, consistent with Section 4;

B. Earn approximately \$28 million in interest on the Klamath Trust Accounts to provide Value to Customers, which results in a total of \$200 million in the accounts available for Facilities Removal costs as illustrated in Appendix H to this Settlement;

C. Implement Decommissioning and Facilities Removal in a manner that permits PacifiCorp to generate sufficient electricity at the Facilities to achieve the economic results included in PacifiCorp's Economic Analysis; and

D. Implement the ICP and Non-ICP Interim Measures set forth in Appendices C and D to this Settlement.

7.3.3 The Parties agree that PacifiCorp may continuously operate the Facilities subject to the ICP and Non-ICP Interim Measures identified in Appendices C and D to this Settlement and generate electricity at the Facilities through December 31, 2019. Based upon PacifiCorp's representation of its Economic Analysis, the Parties agree that the following additional Value to Customers, in addition to the \$28 million in interest described in Section 7.3.2.B, is necessary to achieve the corresponding date for commencement of Facility Decommissioning:

Date of Facilities Decommissioning	Required Additional Value to Customers
January 1, 2020	\$27 million
July 1, 2020	\$13 million
December 31, 2020	\$0

If Decommissioning begins on December 31, 2020, no additional funding is required. The Parties acknowledge that, in order to complete Facilities Removal to the degree described in the last sentence of Section 7.3.1 by December 31, 2020, Decommissioning will need to begin prior to that date. As described in the table above, Decommissioning may begin on July 1, 2020 if \$13 million in additional Value to Customers is identified, or on January 1, 2020, if \$27 million in additional Value to Customers is identified.

7.3.4 Within 90 days of the DRE's execution of the Settlement, or at such additional time as may be necessary, the Parties shall Meet and Confer to: (1) review progress in implementing the Settlement based upon the approach described in Section 7.3.2; (2) review the DRE's schedule to procure contractor(s) to prepare a Definite Plan based on the Detailed Plan and to provide required liability protection and risk mitigation in accordance with Appendix L; and (3) identify the Value to Customers necessary to implement the schedule, the mechanisms as described in Section 7.3.8 that will be used, and the estimated cost reduction from each mechanism through December 2019. The Parties will subsequently Meet and Confer if the estimated additional Value to Customers has not been timely secured, a Regulatory Approval is inconsistent with that schedule, or the Definite Plan or final designs are inconsistent with the schedule.

If the Parties determine that the identified Value to Customers is less than the amount required to achieve the schedule, then the Parties at that time will consider additional actions to address the funding deficiency, including but not limited to extending the schedule and securing additional funding to protect PacifiCorp customers. The Parties may thereafter Meet

and Confer if additional Value to Customers is secured in excess of what was previously estimated.

- 7.3.5 PacifiCorp, in its sole and absolute discretion, may determine that Facilities Removal may begin earlier than January 1, 2020.
- 7.3.6 If the Parties determine that the schedule for Facilities Removal must extend beyond December 31, 2020, then the Parties shall also consider whether (1) modification of Interim Measures is necessary to appropriately balance costs to customers and protection of natural resources, and (2) continuation of the collection of the customer surcharges up to the maximum Customer Contribution is warranted.
- 7.3.7 The Parties agree that if Decommissioning and Facilities Removal occurs in a staged manner, J.C. Boyle is intended to be the last Facility decommissioned. If, however, the Definite Plan or FERC's surrender order directs a different sequence for Decommissioning and Facilities Removal, then the Parties shall Meet and Confer to identify adjustments necessary to implement Facilities Removal in a manner that is consistent with PacifiCorp's Economic Analysis.
- 7.3.8 The Parties have identified the following potential mechanisms for creating Value to Customers:

A. Interest on the Klamath Trust Accounts

The Parties acknowledge above that the surcharges from the Customer Contributions will be placed in interest-bearing accounts and that the interest that accrues in the accounts may be used to reduce the amount collected through the surcharges so that the total Customer Contribution, including accrued interest through December 31, 2019, totals \$200,000,000. The Parties further acknowledge that it is not possible to precisely estimate the amount of interest that will accrue in the Klamath Trust Accounts. To the extent the interest in the accounts exceeds \$28,000,000, the additional earnings may be used as a Value to Customers unless the funds are required for Facilities Removal. Nothing in this paragraph will limit the Customer Contribution to less than \$200,000,000.

B. Third-Party Funding

The Parties agree to work jointly to identify potential partnerships to supplement funds generated pursuant to this Settlement. Such third-party funds may be employed to acquire generation facilities that can be used to replace the output of the Facilities, to fund aspects of Facilities Removal, or for other purposes to achieve the benefits of this Settlement.

C. Other

The Parties acknowledge that other mechanisms for Value to Customers may be identified, provided that they create sufficiently quantifiable benefits for customers.

7.3.9 PacifiCorp's Economic Analysis that will be used to implement this section was filed by PacifiCorp with the Oregon PUC pursuant to Section 4(1) of the Oregon Surcharge Act and with the California PUC in accordance with Section 4 of this Settlement. The Parties may seek to intervene in these state proceedings before the Commissions, and may request to view PacifiCorp's Economic Analysis consistent with the limitations imposed by Section 4(6) of the Oregon Surcharge Act, applicable PUC protective orders, and general PUC discovery practices and legal requirements. PacifiCorp shall not oppose either request. PacifiCorp reserves the right to request that the PUCs restrict Parties' access to commercially sensitive material, other than PacifiCorp's Economic Analysis, consistent with Section 4(6) of the Oregon Surcharge Act, applicable PUC protective orders, and general PUC discovery practices and legal requirements.

7.4 Transfer, Decommissioning, and Facilities Removal

7.4.1 DRE Notice

The DRE will notify the Parties and FERC when the necessary permits and approvals have been obtained for removal of a Facility or Facilities, all contracts necessary for removal have been finalized, and Facility Removal is ready to commence.

7.4.2 Decommissioning and Transfer

PacifiCorp will transfer ownership of each Facility, including the underlying land for each Facility in accordance with Section 7.6.4 (except for the Keno Development, which shall be disposed in accordance with Section 7.5). Once the DRE fulfills all of the conditions and obligations in Section 7.1.4, Appendix L, and the FERC license transfer order, and PacifiCorp concurs, PacifiCorp will transfer ownership of the Facilities to the DRE. PacifiCorp will continue to operate and maintain the Facilities in accordance with Section 7.1.6 until the DRE is ready to begin removal of a Facility and requests that PacifiCorp discontinue operation of that Facility.

7.5 Keno Facility

7.5.1 Study

Resolution of issues surrounding Keno facility are an important part of achieving the overall goals of this Settlement. Accordingly, the Secretary, in consultation with affected Parties, shall study issues specific to the Keno facility, with specific focus on addressing water quality, fish passage, transfer of title to the Keno facility from PacifiCorp to Interior, future operations and maintenance, and landowner agreements. The study of the Keno facility will be designed with the goals of addressing these issues and maintaining the benefits the dam currently provides.

7.5.2 Keno Facility Determination

In 2012, the Bureau of Reclamation and PacifiCorp entered into an agreement in principle for transfer of title to the Keno facility from PacifiCorp to Interior. Within 60 days of the Amendment Effective Date, Interior and PacifiCorp shall commence negotiations on Keno transfer informed by the analyses described in Section 7.5.1. Every six months or as necessary after the Amendment Effective Date, and subject to Section 8.17, Interior and PacifiCorp shall report to the Parties on the status of Keno negotiations, including as appropriate, drafts of a proposed Keno transfer agreement, a summary of negotiations and issues in dispute, and supporting documents. Interior and PacifiCorp shall use their best efforts to complete a final Keno transfer agreement within 180 days of the Amendment Effective Date. The Secretary will accept transfer of title to the Keno facility when the DRE notifies the Parties and FERC pursuant to Section 7.4.1 that J.C. Boyle Facility Removal is ready to commence.

The transfer of title to the Keno facility shall be subject to completion of any necessary improvements to the Keno facility to meet Department of the Interior Directives and Standards criteria for dam safety identified by Interior through its Safety of Dams inspection of the Keno facility. To facilitate this inspection, PacifiCorp agrees to grant access to the federal government and its contractors for study and assessment of the Keno facility. The terms and conditions of the transfer of title to the Keno facility, including coordination of operations between Link River dam, Keno dam, and any remaining facilities operated by PacifiCorp, ingress and egress agreements and easements required for operation and maintenance of the Klamath Reclamation Project, including but not necessarily limited to Lake Ewauna, Link River Dam, and Keno Dam will be negotiated between Interior and PacifiCorp prior to transfer. Costs associated with any improvements necessary to meet Department of Interior's Directives and Standards criteria for dam safety shall be funded by other non-PacifiCorp sources.

7.5.3 PacifiCorp Operations Prior to Transfer

Prior to and until transfer of title to the Keno Facility, PacifiCorp shall operate Keno in compliance with Contract #14-06-200-3579A, subject to any Applicable Law including the CWA and the provisions of Section 6.3 of this Settlement.

7.5.4 Operations After Transfer

Following transfer of title to the Keno facility from PacifiCorp to Interior, Interior shall operate Keno in compliance with Applicable Law and to provide water levels upstream of Keno Dam for diversion and canal maintenance consistent with Contract #14-06-200-3579A executed on January 4, 1968, between Reclamation and PacifiCorp (then COPCO) and historic practice.

7.5.5 Landowner Agreements

Based on the analysis under Section 7.5.1, the Secretary, upon acquisition of the Keno facility, will execute new agreements with landowners who currently have agreements in the Lake Ewauna to Keno reach, as the Secretary determines are necessary to avoid adverse impacts to the landowners resulting from the transfer, consistent with Applicable Law, operational requirements, and hydrologic conditions.

7.6 Dispositions of PacifiCorp Interests in Lands and other Rights

7.6.1 Lands Owned by PacifiCorp

PacifiCorp is the fee owner of approximately 11,000 acres of real property located in Klamath County, Oregon and Siskiyou County, California that are not directly associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, and generally not included within the existing FERC project boundary. This property is more particularly described on Page 3 of the PacifiCorp Land Maps, attached as Exhibit 3, and referenced as Parcel A. This Settlement shall have no effect as to disposition of Parcel A lands, which shall continue to be subject to applicable taxes unless and until disposed of by PacifiCorp subject to applicable PUC approval requirements.

PacifiCorp is the fee owner of approximately 8,000 acres of real property located in Klamath County, Oregon and Siskiyou County, California that is associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric Project and/or included within the FERC project boundary. This property is more particularly described on Page 3 of the PacifiCorp Land Maps, Exhibit 3, and referenced as Parcel B. It is the intent of the Parties that Parcel B property be disposed in accordance with Section 7.6.4, except for the Keno Development which shall be disposed in accordance with Section 7.5. In addition to Exhibit 3, PacifiCorp owns significant electric transmission and distribution facilities which will remain under its ownership and subject to applicable taxes.

7.6.2 Potential Non-Project Land Exchanges

Interior and PacifiCorp have identified in Parcel A the potential for the exchange of certain non-Project PacifiCorp-owned lands in the Klamath Basin. Should an exchange of these lands to a state or Federal entity take place, the terms of the exchange agreement shall be revenue-neutral to County governments.

7.6.3 BLM Easements and Rights of Way

The Parties agree that before Facilities Removal, the FERC license for the Facilities shall control the ingress and egress to the Facilities within the FERC project boundary. Access by PacifiCorp outside of the project boundary to BLM-administered lands may require a separate Right Of Way agreement.

The Parties agree that the DRE's obligations for operation, maintenance, remediation and restoration costs of BLM-administered, transportation-related structures affected by Facilities Removal will be addressed as part of the Definite Plan.

A proposed disposition of PacifiCorp's easements and right-of-ways across BLM-administered lands within the FERC Project boundary will be included as a part of the DRE's Definite Plan for Facility Removal. To the extent necessary, reciprocal Right Of Way agreements may be executed across PacifiCorp-owned lands and BLM-administered lands to provide continued access for public and BLM administration needs. During the implementation of the Definite Plan, the DRE will be required to obtain authorization for any access across PacifiCorp and BLM-administered lands necessary for every phase of action.

7.6.4 PacifiCorp Klamath Hydroelectric Project Lands

- A. It is the intent of the Parties that ownership of PacifiCorp lands associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric Project and/or included within the FERC Project boundary, identified as Parcel B in Exhibit 3, shall be transferred to the DRE before Facilities Removal begins. It is the intent of the Parties that, once the DRE has completed Facilities Removal and all surrender conditions have been satisfied, ownership of these lands will be transferred to the respective States, as applicable, or to a designated third-party transferee, upon Notice by the relevant State that it has completed to its satisfaction a final property (land and facilities) inspection in accordance with Applicable Law and in accordance with the indemnification(s) provided in Section 7.1.3 and Appendix L. It is also the intent of the Parties that transferred lands shall thereafter be managed for public interest purposes such as fish and wildlife habitat restoration and enhancement, public education, and public recreational access.

- B. Each State shall undertake inspection and preliminary due diligence regarding the nature and condition of Parcel B lands located within its state boundaries, in anticipation of transfer of those lands from the DRE to the relevant State. PacifiCorp and the DRE shall provide each State all cooperation and access to the lands and pertinent records necessary to the inspection and due diligence. The DRE, each State, and PacifiCorp shall identify and provide to the Parties, for each specific property in Parcel B: (1) the proposed transferee for the property; and (2) the proposed terms of transfer for the property. The States, the DRE, and PacifiCorp shall consult with the Parties and other stakeholders before identifying the proposed transfer of a specific Parcel B property. Following such evaluation, the State of Oregon and the State of California may, each in its sole and absolute discretion, elect not to accept the transfer of all or any portion of Parcel B lands; provided, if a State, the DRE, or PacifiCorp believes that the proposed transfer for a property (or lack thereof) will not achieve the intent set forth in Section 7.6.4.A, those Parties shall Meet and Confer in accordance with Section 8.7.
- C. Without predetermining the final terms of transfer for a specific property, proposed terms of transfer may include but are not limited to: (1) final property inspection; (2) specification of structures and improvements to remain on the property after Decommissioning and Facilities Removal; (3) liability protection for the State, or designated third party transferee, and the DRE, for any harm arising from post-transfer Decommissioning or power operations at the property; (4) liability protection for the State, or designated third party transferee, for any harm arising from post-transfer Facilities Removal by the DRE at the property; (5) easements or other property interests necessary for access to and continued operation of PacifiCorp transmission and distribution system assets that will remain on the property; and (6) notice or acknowledgement of the State's claim of ownership to beds and banks of the Klamath River. The DRE shall be a party to the transfer document as necessary and appropriate. The consideration required for transfer of a property to a State or third party transferee under this section shall be limited to the liability protections and other benefits conferred upon PacifiCorp and the DRE under this Settlement. Transfer of Parcel B lands shall be subject to applicable regulatory approvals and the reservations set forth in Section 1.6.
- D. PacifiCorp shall convey Parcel B lands to the DRE, after the DRE provides Notice to the Parties and FERC that all necessary permits and approvals have been obtained for Facility Removal, and all contracts necessary for Facility Removal have been finalized. PacifiCorp shall convey all right, title, and interest in a subset of the Parcel B lands

designated on Exhibit 3 as lands associated with each Facility to the State or third party transferee subject to the DRE's possessory interest, consistent with the terms of this Settlement, including the Facilities, underlying lands, and appurtenances as further described through surveys and land descriptions. The DRE shall hold the underlying land for each Facility in trust for the benefit of the State or third party transferee. This public trust possessory interest in the DRE shall be controlled by the terms of the Settlement, the Definite Plan, and the transfer document. At the conclusion of Facilities Removal, the DRE will release the underlying land to the State or third party transferee. Upon transfer of ownership of all Facilities, PacifiCorp shall convey to the State or third party transferee all right, title, and interest in all Parcel B lands not already transferred to the DRE in trust, as further described through surveys and land descriptions, without restriction of possessory interest for the DRE. If transfer of a specific property for any reason is not consummated in a manner achieving the intent set forth in Section 7.6.4.A, PacifiCorp, the applicable State, and the DRE shall Meet and Confer in accordance with Section 8.7.

- E. Notwithstanding any provision hereof, in the event either State accepts title to any portion of Parcel B lands, the State of Oregon and the State of California retain the right to transfer their ownership to any third party for any purpose.

7.6.5 PacifiCorp Water Rights

- A. PacifiCorp shall assign its revised hydroelectric water rights to the OWRD for conversion to an instream water right pursuant to ORS 543A.305, and OWRD shall take actions to effect such conversion, in accordance with the process and conditions set forth in *Water Right Agreement between PacifiCorp and Oregon* (Exhibit 1). Nothing in this Section 7.6.5 or Exhibit 1 is intended in any way to affect, diminish, impair, or determine any federally-reserved or state law-based water right that the United States or any other person or entity may have in the Klamath River.
- B. Except as provided in this paragraph, within 90 days of completion of Facilities Removal at the Copco No. 1, Copco No. 2 and Iron Gate Facilities, respectively, PacifiCorp shall submit a Revocation Request to the California State Water Resources Control Board for License No. 9457 (Application No. 17527), and shall notify the State Water Resources Control Board of its intent to abandon its hydroelectric appropriative water rights at the Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2 Facilities, as applicable, as identified in Statement of Water Diversion and Use Nos. 15374, 15375, and 15376. Should ongoing operations of the Iron Gate Hatchery or other hatchery facilities necessitate

continued use of water under License No. 9457 (Application No. 17527) beyond 90 days after completion of Facilities Removal, PacifiCorp shall consult with the Department of Fish and Wildlife and the State Water Resources Control Board and shall take actions directed by such Department and Board as are necessary to ensure a sufficient water supply to the Iron Gate Hatchery or other hatchery facilities under License No. 9457.

7.6.6 PacifiCorp Hatchery Facilities

The PacifiCorp Hatchery Facilities within the State of California shall be transferred to the State of California at the time of transfer to the DRE of the Iron Gate Hydro Development or such other time agreed by the Parties, and thereafter operated by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife with funding from PacifiCorp as follows:

A. Hatchery Funding

PacifiCorp will fund 100 percent of hatchery operations and maintenance necessary to fulfill annual mitigation objectives developed by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife in consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service. This includes funding the Iron Gate Hatchery facility as well as funding of other hatcheries necessary to meet ongoing mitigation objectives following Facilities Removal. Hatchery operations include development and implementation of a Hatchery Genetics Management Plan as well as a 25% constant fractional marking program. Funding will be provided for hatchery operations to meet mitigation requirements and will continue for eight years following the Decommissioning of Iron Gate Dam. PacifiCorp's eight-year funding obligation assumes that dam removal will occur within one year of cessation of power generation at Iron Gate Dam. If Facilities Removal occurs after one year of cessation of power generation at Iron Gate Dam, then the Parties will Meet and Confer to determine appropriate hatchery funding beyond the eight years.

B. Hatchery Production Continuity

PacifiCorp will fund a study to evaluate hatchery production options that do not rely on the current Iron Gate Hatchery water supply. The study will assess groundwater and surface water supply options and water reuse technologies that could support hatchery production in the absence of Iron Gate Dam. The study may include examination of local well records and increasing production potential at existing or new facilities in the Klamath Basin as well as development of a test well or groundwater supply well. Based on the study results and with the approval of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the National Marine Fisheries

Service, PacifiCorp will provide one-time funding to construct and implement the measures identified as necessary to continue to meet current mitigation production objectives for a period of eight years following the Decommissioning of Iron Gate Dam. PacifiCorp's eight-year funding obligation assumes that Facilities Removal will occur within one year of cessation of power generation at Iron Gate Dam. If dam removal occurs after one year of cessation of power generation at Iron Gate Dam, then the Parties will Meet and Confer to determine appropriate hatchery funding beyond the eight years. Production facilities capable of meeting current hatchery mitigation goals must be in place and operational upon removal of Iron Gate Dam. PacifiCorp shall not be responsible for funding hatchery programs, if any, necessary to reintroduce anadromous fish in the Klamath basin.

8. General Provisions

8.1 Term of Settlement

The term of this Settlement shall commence on the Effective Date and shall continue until Facilities Removal has been fully achieved and all conditions of this Settlement have been satisfied, unless terminated earlier pursuant to Section 8.11.

8.2 Effectiveness

The KHSA was effective upon execution on February 18, 2010 ("Effective Date"). The KHSA as amended will take effect when it is executed by the signatories to the 2016 AIP ("Amendment Effective Date").

8.3 Successors and Assigns

This Settlement shall apply to, be binding on, and inure to the benefit of the Parties and their successors and assigns, unless otherwise specified in this Settlement. Except as provided by Section 7.1.10, no assignment may take effect without the express written approval of the other Parties, which approval will not be unreasonably withheld.

8.4 Amendment

Except as otherwise expressly provided in Section 8.11.3, this Settlement may only be amended in writing by all Parties still in existence, including any successors or assigns. The Public Agency Parties may also obtain public input on any such modifications as required by Applicable Law. A Party may provide Notice of a proposed amendment at any time. The Parties agree to meet in person or by teleconference within 20 days of receipt of Notice to discuss the proposed amendment.

8.5 Notices

Any Notice required by this Settlement shall be written. Notice shall be provided by electronic mail, unless the sending Party determines that first-class mail or an alternative form of delivery is more appropriate in a given circumstance. A Notice shall be effective upon receipt, but if provided by U.S. Mail, seven days after the date on which it is mailed. For the purpose of Notice, the list of authorized representatives of the Parties as of the Effective Date is attached as Appendix K. The Parties shall provide Notice of any change in the authorized representatives designated in Appendix K, and PacifiCorp shall maintain the current distribution list of such representatives. The Parties agree that failure to provide PacifiCorp with current contact information will result in a waiver of that Party's right to Notice under this Settlement. The Party who has waived Notice may prospectively reinstate its right to Notice by providing current contact information to PacifiCorp.

8.6 Dispute Resolution

All disputes between Parties arising under this Settlement shall be subject to the Dispute Resolution Procedures stated herein. The Parties agree that each such dispute shall be brought and resolved in a Timely manner.

8.6.1 Cooperation

Disputing Parties shall devote such resources as are needed and as can be reasonably provided to resolve the dispute expeditiously. Disputing Parties shall cooperate in good faith to promptly schedule, attend, and participate in the dispute resolution.

8.6.2 Costs

Unless otherwise agreed among the Disputing Parties, each Disputing Party shall bear its own costs for its participation in these Dispute Resolution Procedures.

8.6.3 Non-Exclusive Remedy

These Dispute Resolution Procedures do not preclude any Party from Timely filing and pursuing an action to enforce an obligation under this Settlement, or to appeal a Regulatory Approval inconsistent with the Settlement, or to enforce a Regulatory Approval or Applicable Law; provided that such Party shall provide a Dispute Initiation Notice and, to the extent practicable, undertake and conclude these procedures, before such action.

8.6.4 Dispute Resolution Procedures

A. Dispute Initiation Notice

A Party claiming a dispute shall give Notice of the dispute within seven days of becoming aware of the dispute. Such Notice shall describe: (1) the matter(s) in dispute; (2) the identity of any other Party alleged to have not performed an obligation arising under this Settlement or Regulatory Obligation; and (3) the specific relief sought. Collectively, the Party initiating the procedure, the Party complained against, and any other Party which provides Notice of its intent to participate in these procedures, are “Disputing Parties.”

B. Informal Meetings

Disputing Parties shall hold at least two informal meetings to resolve the dispute, commencing within 20 days after the Dispute Initiation Notice, and concluding within 45 days of the Dispute Initiation Notice unless extended upon mutual agreement of the Disputing Parties. If the Disputing Parties are unable to resolve the dispute, at least one meeting will be held within the 45 days at the management level to seek resolution.

C. Mediation

If the dispute is not resolved in the informal meetings, the Disputing Parties shall decide whether to use a neutral mediator. The decision whether to pursue mediation, and if affirmative the identity and allocation of costs for the mediator, shall be made within 75 days after the Dispute Initiation Notice. Mediation shall not occur if the Disputing Parties do not unanimously agree on use of a mediator, choice of mediator, and allocation of costs. The mediation process shall be concluded not later than 135 days after the Dispute Initiation Notice. The above time periods may be shortened or lengthened upon mutual agreement of the Disputing Parties.

D. Dispute Resolution Notice

The Disputing Parties shall provide Notice of the results of the Dispute Resolution Procedures. The Notice shall: (1) restate the disputed matter, as initially described in the Dispute Initiation Notice; (2) describe the alternatives which the Disputing Parties considered for resolution; and (3) state whether resolution was achieved, in whole or part, and state the specific relief, including timeline, agreed to as part of the resolution. Each Disputing Party shall promptly implement any agreed resolution of the dispute.

8.7 Meet and Confer

8.7.1 Applicability

The Meet and Confer procedures in this Section 8.7 shall apply upon the occurrence of certain events or failure to occur of certain events as specifically required in this Settlement.

8.7.2 Meet and Confer Procedures

- A. Any Party may initiate the Meet and Confer procedures by sending Notice: (1) describing the event that requires the Parties to confer, and (2) scheduling a meeting or conference call.
- B. The Parties will meet to discuss the problem and identify alternative solutions. The Parties agree to dedicate a reasonable amount of time sufficient to resolve the problem.
- C. The Meet and Confer procedures will result in: (1) amendment pursuant to Section 8.4; (2) termination or other resolution pursuant to the procedures of Section 8.11; or (3) such other resolution as is appropriate under the applicable section.

8.8 Remedies

This Settlement does not create a cause of action in contract for monetary damages for any alleged breach by any Party of this Settlement. Neither does this Settlement create a cause of action in contract for monetary damages or other remedies for failure to perform a Regulatory Obligation. The Parties reserve all other existing remedies for material breach of the Settlement; provided that Section 8.11 shall constitute the exclusive procedures and means by which this Settlement can be terminated.

8.9 Entire Agreement

This Settlement contains the complete and exclusive agreement among all of the Parties with respect to the subject matter thereof, and supersedes all discussions, negotiations, representations, warranties, commitments, offers, agreements in principle, and other writings among the Parties, including the 2008 AIP and 2016 AIP, before the Amendment Effective Date of this Settlement, with respect to its subject matter. Appendices B, C, D, F, H, K, and L are hereby incorporated by reference into this Settlement as if fully restated herein. Exhibits 1 through 4 are attached to this Settlement for informational purposes only and are not incorporated by reference except as otherwise noted herein.

8.10 Severability

This Settlement is made on the understanding that each provision is a necessary part of the entire Settlement. However, if any provision of this Settlement is held by a Regulatory Agency or a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable: (1) the validity, legality, and enforceability of the remaining provisions of this Settlement are not affected or impaired in any way; and (2) the Parties shall negotiate in good faith in an attempt to agree to another provision (instead of the provision held to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable) that is valid, legal, and enforceable and carries out the Parties' intention to the greatest lawful extent under this Settlement.

8.11 Termination

8.11.1 Potential Termination Events

This Settlement shall be terminable if one of the following events occurs and a cure for that event is not achieved pursuant to Section 8.11.3:

- A. A condition precedent to license transfer set forth in Section 7.1.4 is not met;
- B. The Oregon PUC or California PUC do not implement the funding provisions set forth in Sections 4.1 through 4.6;
- C. Conditions of any Regulatory Approval of Interim Measures, denial of Regulatory Approval of Interim Measures including the failure Timely to approve ESA incidental take authorization, or results of any litigation related to this Settlement are materially inconsistent with the provisions of Section 6.1 through 6.3 and Appendices C and D;
- D. Conditions or denial of any Regulatory Approval of Facilities Removal or the results of any litigation about such removal, are materially inconsistent with the Settlement;
- E. The DRE notifies the Parties that it cannot proceed with Facilities Removal because it cannot obtain all permits and contracts necessary for Facilities Removal despite its good faith efforts; or
- F. California, Oregon, the Federal Parties, or PacifiCorp is materially adversely affected by another Party's breach of this Settlement.

8.11.2 Definitions for Section 8.11

- A. For purposes of this section and Section 7.2.1.C, "materially inconsistent" means diverging from the Settlement or part thereof in a manner that: (1) fundamentally changes the economics or

liability protection such that a Party no longer receives the benefit of the bargain provided by this Settlement; or (2) frustrates the fundamental purpose of this Settlement such that Facilities Removal or the underlying purposes of Interim Measures cannot be accomplished. Events occurring independent of this Settlement, other than those identified in Section 8.11.1, shall not be construed to create a material inconsistency or materially adverse effect.

- B. For purposes of this section, “materially adversely affected” means that a Party no longer receives the benefit of the bargain due to: (1) fundamental changes in the economics or liability protection; or (2) frustration of the fundamental purpose of this Settlement such that Facilities Removal or the underlying purposes of Interim Measures cannot be accomplished.
- C. For purposes of this section, a “result of any litigation” is materially inconsistent with this Settlement or a part thereof if a Party is materially adversely affected by: (1) costs to defend the litigation; or (2) a final order or judgment.

8.11.3 Cure for Potential Termination Event

- A. A Party that believes that a potential termination event specified in Section 8.11.1 has occurred shall provide Notice.
 - (1) The Parties shall use the Meet and Confer Procedures specified in Section 8.7 to consider whether to deem the event to conform to the Settlement, or adopt a mutually agreeable amendment to this Settlement. These procedures shall conclude within 90 days of Notice.
 - (2) If these procedures do not resolve the potential termination event, the Federal Parties, the States, the DRE if a Party, and PacifiCorp may, within 90 days thereafter, agree to an amendment, or deem the event to conform to the Settlement; otherwise, this Settlement shall terminate. In no event shall any amendment under this subsection provide for Facilities Removal with respect to fewer than four Facilities.
- B. If the Federal Parties, the States, the DRE if a Party, and PacifiCorp disagree whether a potential termination event specified in Section 8.11.1 has occurred, these Parties shall follow the Dispute Resolution Procedures in Section 8.6 to attempt to resolve that dispute. If such a Notice of Dispute is filed while the Meet and Confer Procedures referenced in 8.11.3.A are ongoing, those Meet and Confer Procedures are deemed concluded, subject to being recommenced in accordance

with the remainder of this subsection. Upon conclusion of the Dispute Resolution Procedures in Section 8.6, the Federal Parties, the States, the DRE if a Party, and PacifiCorp shall issue a Notice of Dispute Resolution.

(1) If, in the Notice of Dispute Resolution, the Federal Parties, the States, and PacifiCorp agree that a potential termination event has occurred, or agree to consider whether a cure could be achieved, the further procedures stated in Section 8.11.3.A(1) and (2) above shall apply.

(2) If, in the Notice of Dispute Resolution, the Federal Parties, the States, the DRE if a Party, and PacifiCorp disagree whether a potential termination event has occurred, this Settlement shall terminate unless a Party seeks and obtains a remedy preserving the Settlement under Applicable Law.

C. A Party may reasonably suspend performance of its otherwise applicable obligations under this Settlement, upon receipt of Notice and pending a resolution of the potential termination event as provided in Section 8.11.3.A or B.

D. If the Federal Parties, the States, the DRE if a Party, and PacifiCorp, pursuant to the procedures in Section 8.11.3.A, agree to an amendment or other cure to resolve a potential termination event absent agreement by all other Parties pursuant to Section 8.4, any other Party may accept the amendment by Notice. If it objects, such other Party: (1) may seek a remedy regarding the potential termination event that resulted in the disputed amendment, to the extent provided by Section 8.8; (2) may continue to suspend performance of its obligations under this Settlement; and (3) in either event shall not be liable in any manner as a result of its objection or the suspension of its performance of its obligations under this Settlement.

E. The Parties shall undertake to complete the applicable procedures under this section within six months of a potential termination event.

8.11.4 Obligations Surviving Termination

A. Upon termination, all documents and communications related to the development, execution, or submittal of this Settlement to any agency, court, or other entity, shall not be used as evidence, admission, or argument in any forum or proceeding for any purpose to the fullest extent allowed by Applicable Law, including 18 C.F.R. § 385.606. This provision does not apply to the results of studies or other technical information developed for use by a Public Agency Party.

This provision does not apply to any information that was in the public domain prior to the development of this Settlement or that became part of the public domain at some later time through no unauthorized act or omission by any Party. Notwithstanding the termination of this Settlement, all Parties shall continue to maintain the confidentiality of all settlement communications.

This provision does not prohibit the disclosure of: (1) any information held by a federal agency that is not protected from disclosure pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act or other applicable law; (2) any information held by a state or local agency that is not protected from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, the Oregon Public Records Law, or other applicable state or federal law; or (3) disclosure pursuant to Section 1.6.8.

B. The prohibitions in Section 1.6.8 survive termination of this Settlement.

8.12 No Third-Party Beneficiaries

This Settlement is not intended to and shall not confer any right or interest in the public, or any member thereof, or on any persons or entities that are not Parties hereto, as intended or expected third-party beneficiaries hereof, and shall not authorize any non-Party to maintain a suit at law or equity based on a cause of action deriving from this Settlement. The duties, obligations, and responsibilities of the Parties with respect to third parties shall remain as imposed under Applicable Law.

8.13 Elected Officials Not to Benefit

No Member of or Delegate to Congress, Resident Commissioner, or elected official shall personally benefit from this Settlement or from any benefit that may arise from it.

8.14 No Partnership

Except as otherwise expressly set forth herein, nothing contained in this Settlement is intended or shall be construed to create an association, trust, partnership, or joint venture, or impose any trust or partnership duty, obligation, or liability on any Party, or create an agency relationship between or among the Parties or between any Party and any employee of any other Party.

8.15 Governing Law

8.15.1 Contractual Obligation

A Party's performance of an obligation arising under this Settlement shall be governed by (1) applicable provisions of this Settlement, and (2) Applicable Law for obligations of that type.

8.15.2 Regulatory Obligation

A Party's performance of a Regulatory Obligation, once approved as proposed by this Settlement, shall be governed by Applicable Law for obligations of that type.

8.15.3 Reference to Applicable Law

Any reference in this Settlement to an Applicable Law shall be deemed to be a reference to such law in existence as of the date of the action in question.

8.16 Federal Appropriations

To the extent that the expenditure or advance of any money or the performance of any obligation of the Federal Parties under this Settlement is to be funded by appropriations of funds by Congress, the expenditure, advance, or performance shall be contingent upon the appropriation of funds by Congress that are available for this purpose and the apportionment of such funds by the Office of Management and Budget. No breach of this Settlement shall result and no liability shall accrue to the United States in the event such funds are not appropriated or apportioned.

8.17 Confidentiality

The confidentiality provisions of the *Agreement for Confidentiality of Settlement Communications and Negotiations Protocol Related to the Klamath Hydroelectric Project*, as it may be amended, shall continue as long as this Settlement is in effect.

9. Execution of Settlement

9.1 Signatory Authority

Each signatory to this Settlement certifies that he or she is authorized to execute this Settlement and to legally bind the entity he or she represents, and that such entity shall be fully bound by the terms hereof upon such signature without any further act, approval, or authorization by such entity.

9.2 Signing in Counterparts

This Settlement may be executed in any number of counterparts, and each executed counterpart shall have the same force and effect as if all signatory Parties had signed the same instrument. The signature pages of counterparts of this Settlement may be compiled without impairing the legal effect of any signatures thereon.

9.3 New Parties

Except as provided in Section 9.4 any entity listed on pages 1 through 2 of this Settlement that signs this Settlement on or before December 31, 2016, will become a Party to this Settlement. After December 31, 2016, any entity listed on pages 1 through 2 of this Settlement may become a Party through an amendment of this Settlement in accordance with Section 8.4. After 90 days from the Amendment Effective Date, an entity not listed on pages 1 through 2 of this Settlement may become a Party through an amendment of this Settlement in accordance with Section 8.4.

9.4 DRE and Liability Transfer Corp. as Parties

The Parties expect that the DRE will become a Party by executing this Settlement within 90 days of the Amendment Effective Date. No action by any other Party is necessary for the DRE to become a Party. If the DRE assigns any of its responsibilities to a Liability Transfer Corp. as described in Section 7.1.10 and Appendix L, the Liability Transfer Corp. shall become a Party by executing this Settlement. No action by any other Party is necessary for the Liability Transfer Corp. to become a Party.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK—SIGNATURES BEGIN ON
FOLLOWING PAGE]

IN WITNESS THEREOF,

the Parties, through their duly authorized representatives, have caused this Settlement to be executed as of the date set forth in this Settlement.

United States Department of the Interior

By: Sally Jewell, Secretary of the Interior

Date: _____

United States Department of Commerce's National Marine Fisheries Service

By: Dr. Kathryn D. Sullivan
Under Secretary of Commerce for
Oceans and Atmosphere

Date: _____

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power

By: Stefan A. Bird, President and CEO

Date: _____

State of California

By: Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor

Date: _____

State of Oregon

By: Kate Brown, Governor

Date: _____

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

By: Chuck Bonham, Director

Date: _____